CDM project activity registration review form (F-CDM-RR) (By submitting this form, a Party involved (through the designated national authority) or an Executive Board member may request that a review is	
Designated national authority/Executive Board member submitting this form	undertaken)
Title of the proposed CDM project activity submitted for registration	1725 - Power generation from coking waste heat utilization project at Taiyuan City Wanguang Coal and Coking Co., Ltd in Shanxi, China
Please indicate, in accordance with paragraphs 37 and 40 of the CDM modalities and procedures, which validation requirement(s) may require review. A list of requirements is provided below. Please provide reasons in support of the request for review, including any supporting documentation.	
☐ The following are requirements derived from paragraph 37 of the CDM modalities and procedures:	
☐ The participation requirements as set out in paragraphs 2	8 to 30 of the CDM modalities and procedures are satisfied;
Comments by local stakeholders have been invited, a summary of the comments received has been provided, and a report to the designated operational entity (DOE) on how due account was taken of any comments has been received;	
□ Project participants have submitted to the DOE documentation on the analysis of the environmental impacts of the project activity, including transboundary impacts and, if those impacts are considered significant by the project participants or the host Party, have undertaken an environmental impact assessment in accordance with procedures as required by the host Party;	
The project activity is expected to result in a reduction in anthropogenic emissions by sources of greenhouse gases that are additional to any that would occur in the absence of the proposed project activity, in accordance with paragraphs 43 to 52 of the CDM modalities and procedures;	
The baseline and monitoring methodologies comply with requirements pertaining to methodologies previously approved by the Executive Board;	
□ Provisions for monitoring, verification and reporting are in accordance with decision 17/CP.7, the CDM modalities and procedures and relevant decisions of the COP/MOP;	
The project activity conforms to all other requirements for CDM project activities in decision 17/CP.7, the CDM modalities and procedures and relevant decisions by the COP/MOP and the Executive Board.	
☐ The following are requirements derived from paragraph 40 of the CDM modalities and procedures:	
☐ The DOE shall, prior to the submission of the validation report to the Executive Board, have received from the project participants written approval of voluntary participation from the designated national authority of each Party involved, including confirmation by the host Party that the project activity assists it in achieving sustainable development;	
□ In accordance with provisions on confidentiality contained in paragraph 27 (h) of the CDM modalities and procedures, the DOE shall make publicly available the project design document;	
The DOE shall receive, within 30 days, comments on the validation requirements from Parties, stakeholders and UNFCCC accredited non-governmental organizations and make them publicly available;	
After the deadline for receipt of comments, the DOE shall make a determination as to whether, on the basis of the information provided and taking into account the comments received, the project activity should be validated;	
The DOE shall inform project participants of its determination on the validation of the project activity. Notification to the project participants will include confirmation of validation and the date of submission of the validation report to the Executive Board;	
☐ The DOE shall submit to the Executive Board, if it determines the proposed project activity to be valid, a request for registration in the form of a validation report including the project design document, the written approval of the host Party and an explanation of how it has taken due account of comments received.	
There are only minor issues which should be addressed by the DOE / project participants prior to the registration of the project.	
Section below to be filled in by UNFCCC secretariat	
Date received at UNFCCC secretariat	18.07.2008

- 1. Considering that the investment being made is in the power sector, further substantiation that the benchmark reflects the risk profile of this project activity is required.
- The DOE is requested to clarify the discrepancy in the benchmark of 12,0% proposed for this project, while for another project connected to the coke production sector (project 1726) another benchmark of 11% is validated as being correct, being the financial IRR for the iron industry (*The Economic Assessment Method and Parameters for Project Construction, 2ndversion, 1993, valid to August 2006*)



- 3. The DOE shall describe how the reliability of the input values used in the investment analysis has been validated in accordance with the requirements of EB38 paragraph 54(c).
- 4. The PP/DOE shall provide information on the maximum and minimum production capacity design rate of the coke production and apply these values to the sensitivity analysis
- 5. Further clarification is required on why the common practice analysis includes only those project activities that started construction after 2005.
- 6. The DOE is requested to provide an explanation for the delay in submitting the project for validation to show that CDM revenues were considered essential in the decision to invest in the project activity. The response should provide a detailed timeline of project implementation with relevant, preferably third-party, evidences of the serious prior consideration of CDM.
- 7. Further clarification is required on how the DOE has validated the baseline determination, in particular that the continuation of grid electricity imports is a more economically attractive alternative than the project activity undertaken without CDM.