

## CDM project activity registration review form (F-CDM-RR) (By submitting this form, a Party involved (through the designated national authority) or an Executive Board member may request that a review is undertaken)

dutionty) of an Excounte Board member may request that a review to undertakeny	
Designated national authority/Executive Board member submitting this form	
Title of the proposed CDM project activity submitted for registration	Sandaowan Hydropower Project in Gansu Province, P.R. China, Project activity 1264
Please indicate, in accordance with paragraphs 37 and 40 of the CDM modalities and procedures, which validation requirement(s) may require review. A list of requirements is provided below. Please provide reasons in support of the request for review, including any supporting documentation.	
☐ The following are requirements derived from paragraph 37 of the CDM modalities and procedures:	
☐ The participation requirements as set out in paragraphs	s 28 to 30 of the CDM modalities and procedures are satisfied;
☐ Comments by local stakeholders have been invited, a summary of the comments received has been provided, and a report to the designated operational entity (DOE) on how due account was taken of any comments has been received;	
activity, including transboundary impacts and, if those impacts	entation on the analysis of the environmental impacts of the project acts are considered significant by the project participants or the host nent in accordance with procedures as required by the host Party;
	n anthropogenic emissions by sources of greenhouse gases that are oposed project activity, in accordance with paragraphs 43 to 52 of
■The baseline and monitoring methodologies comply with the Executive Board;	h requirements pertaining to methodologies previously approved by
☐ Provisions for monitoring, verification and reporting are in accordance with decision 17/CP.7, the CDM modalities and procedures and relevant decisions of the COP/MOP;	
☐ The project activity conforms to all other requirements fand procedures and relevant decisions by the COP/MOP and	for CDM project activities in decision 17/CP.7, the CDM modalities and the Executive Board.
$\square$ The following are requirements derived from paragraph 40 c	of the CDM modalities and procedures:
☐ The DOE shall, prior to the submission of the validation report to the Executive Board, have received from the project participants written approval of voluntary participation from the designated national authority of each Party involved, including confirmation by the host Party that the project activity assists it in achieving sustainable development;	
☐ In accordance with provisions on confidentiality contain DOE shall make publicly available the project design docu	ned in paragraph 27 (h) of the CDM modalities and procedures, the iment;
☐ The DOE shall receive, within 30 days, comments on the validation requirements from Parties, stakeholders and UNFCCC accredited non-governmental organizations and make them publicly available;	
☐ After the deadline for receipt of comments, the DOE shall make a determination as to whether, on the basis of the information provided and taking into account the comments received, the project activity should be validated;	
☐ The DOE shall inform project participants of its determination on the validation of the project activity. Notification to the project participants will include confirmation of validation and the date of submission of the validation report to the Executive Board;	
	rmines the proposed project activity to be valid, a request for project design document, the written approval of the host Party and nts received.
$\hfill \square$ There are only minor issues which should be addressed by t	the DOE / project participants prior to the registration of the project.
Section below to be filled in by UNFCCC secretariat	
Date received at UNFCCC secretariat	22/11/2007

Reasons for request:

- $1. \quad \text{Further information is required to show how the investment analysis has been validated.} \\$
- 2. Further substantiation of the common practice analysis should also be provided e.g., what other differences exist between the project activity and the existing projects and what is the total hydro capacity in Gansu Province.
- 3. The monitoring plan should clearly outline the monitoring of the net electricity generated by each of the three hydro plants, and the number and location of meters.
- 4. The start date of the project activity should be revised in the PDD to the earliest of the dates at which the implementation or construction or real action of the project activity began.