



CDM project activity registration review form (F-CDM-RR)
(By submitting this form, a Party involved (through the designated national authority) or an Executive Board member may request that a review is undertaken)

Designated national authority/Executive Board member submitting this form	
Title of the proposed CDM project activity submitted for registration	Fujian Pingtan Changjiang'ao 100 MW Wind Power Project; Project activity 1177

Please indicate, in accordance with paragraphs 37 and 40 of the CDM modalities and procedures, which validation requirement(s) may require review. A list of requirements is provided below. Please provide reasons in support of the request for review, including any supporting documentation.

- ☐ *The following are requirements derived from paragraph 37 of the CDM modalities and procedures:*
- ☐ The participation requirements as set out in paragraphs 28 to 30 of the CDM modalities and procedures are satisfied;
 - ☐ Comments by local stakeholders have been invited, a summary of the comments received has been provided, and a report to the designated operational entity (DOE) on how due account was taken of any comments has been received;
 - ☐ Project participants have submitted to the DOE documentation on the analysis of the environmental impacts of the project activity, including transboundary impacts and, if those impacts are considered significant by the project participants or the host Party, have undertaken an environmental impact assessment in accordance with procedures as required by the host Party;
 - ☒ The project activity is expected to result in a reduction in anthropogenic emissions by sources of greenhouse gases that are additional to any that would occur in the absence of the proposed project activity, in accordance with paragraphs 43 to 52 of the CDM modalities and procedures;
 - ☒ The baseline and monitoring methodologies comply with requirements pertaining to methodologies previously approved by the Executive Board;
 - ☐ Provisions for monitoring, verification and reporting are in accordance with decision 17/CP.7, the CDM modalities and procedures and relevant decisions of the COP/MOP;
 - ☐ The project activity conforms to all other requirements for CDM project activities in decision 17/CP.7, the CDM modalities and procedures and relevant decisions by the COP/MOP and the Executive Board.
- ☐ *The following are requirements derived from paragraph 40 of the CDM modalities and procedures:*
- ☐ The DOE shall, prior to the submission of the validation report to the Executive Board, have received from the project participants written approval of voluntary participation from the designated national authority of each Party involved, including confirmation by the host Party that the project activity assists it in achieving sustainable development;
 - ☐ In accordance with provisions on confidentiality contained in paragraph 27 (h) of the CDM modalities and procedures, the DOE shall make publicly available the project design document;
 - ☐ The DOE shall receive, within 30 days, comments on the validation requirements from Parties, stakeholders and UNFCCC accredited non-governmental organizations and make them publicly available;
 - ☐ After the deadline for receipt of comments, the DOE shall make a determination as to whether, on the basis of the information provided and taking into account the comments received, the project activity should be validated;
 - ☐ The DOE shall inform project participants of its determination on the validation of the project activity. Notification to the project participants will include confirmation of validation and the date of submission of the validation report to the Executive Board;
 - ☐ The DOE shall submit to the Executive Board, if it determines the proposed project activity to be valid, a request for registration in the form of a validation report including the project design document, the written approval of the host Party and an explanation of how it has taken due account of comments received.
- ☐ There are only minor issues which should be addressed by the DOE / project participants prior to the registration of the project.

Section below to be filled in by UNFCCC secretariat

Date received at UNFCCC secretariat	26/09/2007
-------------------------------------	------------

Reason for request:

1. The PP shall further demonstrate the additionality of the project activity.
2. The economic and financial analysis is weak, and the following issues should be clarified:
 - It is not clear from the PDD or validation report if the system PLF could be higher or lower than 30% and its effect in the project financing. The sensitivity analysis uses only Investment/Mw ,

O&M and tariff. Information about the PLF is critical because it could generate uncertainty in this project.

- The financial analysis is using a 10 years span and 5% of residual life in the calculations. On the other hand, the operational life of the equipment is 21 years and the residual life could be lower or should be based on fair value principle. This point changes the flows and the IRR/CERs effect and should be reviewed.
 - The validation of additionality is complemented with comments about the importance of maintenance due to corrosion, training, location of equipment in an inland, and others. However, there is no opening of the operational costs, all the data is consolidated and it is not possible to confirm the impact of all this. The PP/DOE shall provide the information to substantiate further the additionality of the project in clear and transparent manner, including spreadsheet with open information to be able to check the information.
3. The technical and investment barriers analysis is weak and should be further substantiated. It is not clear whether the thermal power plant alternative is technically feasible in “an island with plain field, which has few rivers and a lower sea level between 4m and 52m”. Is there a provision of fossil fuel for the thermal power plant? The legal and regulatory framework prohibits a thermal power plant because it does not comply with the national regulation for controlling small scale thermal power plant. Hence, the wind power project would not be additional if the other alternative is not allowed.
 4. Version 3 of the “Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality” should be applied.
 5. Clarification is sought regarding why electricity is not measured on hourly basis as required by the methodology.