

## CDM project activity registration review form (F-CDM-RR) (By submitting this form, a Party involved (through the designated national authority) or an Executive Board member may request that a review is undertaken.

authority) of all Executive Board member may request that a review is undertakeny	
Designated national authority/Executive Board member submitting this form	
Title of the proposed CDM project activity submitted for registration	9.8 MW Renewable Energy Generation for the grid at South Asian Agro Industries Limited in Raipur District, Chattisgarh; Project activity 1175
Please indicate, in accordance with paragraphs 37 and 40 of the CDM modalities and procedures, which validation requirement(s) may require review. A list of requirements is provided below. Please provide reasons in support of the request for review, including any supporting documentation.	
☐ The following are requirements derived from paragraph 37 o	of the CDM modalities and procedures:
☐ The participation requirements as set out in paragraph	s 28 to 30 of the CDM modalities and procedures are satisfied;
☐ Comments by local stakeholders have been invited, a to the designated operational entity (DOE) on how due ac	summary of the comments received has been provided, and a report count was taken of any comments has been received;
activity, including transboundary impacts and, if those imp	entation on the analysis of the environmental impacts of the project eacts are considered significant by the project participants or the host nent in accordance with procedures as required by the host Party;
	in anthropogenic emissions by sources of greenhouse gases that be proposed project activity, in accordance with paragraphs 43 to 52
☐The baseline and monitoring methodologies comply wit the Executive Board;	h requirements pertaining to methodologies previously approved by
☐Provisions for monitoring, verification and reporting are in accordance with decision 17/CP.7, the CDM modalities and procedures and relevant decisions of the COP/MOP;	
☐ The project activity conforms to all other requirements for CDM project activities in decision 17/CP.7, the CDM modalities and procedures and relevant decisions by the COP/MOP and the Executive Board.	
$\square$ The following are requirements derived from paragraph 40 $\alpha$	of the CDM modalities and procedures:
☐ The DOE shall, prior to the submission of the validation report to the Executive Board, have received from the project participants written approval of voluntary participation from the designated national authority of each Party involved, including confirmation by the host Party that the project activity assists it in achieving sustainable development;	
☐ In accordance with provisions on confidentiality contained in paragraph 27 (h) of the CDM modalities and procedures, the DOE shall make publicly available the project design document;	
☐ The DOE shall receive, within 30 days, comments on the validation requirements from Parties, stakeholders and UNFCCC accredited non-governmental organizations and make them publicly available;	
After the deadline for receipt of comments, the DOE shall make a determination as to whether, on the basis of the information provided and taking into account the comments received, the project activity should be validated;	
☐ The DOE shall inform project participants of its determination on the validation of the project activity. Notification to the project participants will include confirmation of validation and the date of submission of the validation report to the Executive Board;	
	ermines the proposed project activity to be valid, a request for project design document, the written approval of the host Party and ents received.
☐ There are only minor issues which should be addressed by	the DOE / project participants prior to the registration of the project.
Section below to be filled in by UNFCCC secretariat	
Date received at UNFCCC secretariat	29/08/2007

Reason for request:

- 1. Further evidence is required to support the benchmark calculation.
- 2. Further evidence is required regarding how the DOE has validated the input values, such as biomass price and interest payments, used in the calculation of the project IRR.
- 3. Further evidence regarding the current practice of construction of biomass plants in the region is required to substantiate the prevailing practice barrier, as the PDD indicates that biomass prices have risen in response to demand.

- 4. Further corrections in the calculation of project emissions, as stated in page 28, column 7, as the unit of the NCV of coal should be kcal/kg instead of kcal/kWh. This correction has to be made also in the corresponding excel file annex B.
- 5. Regarding project emissions, the fuel consumptions evaluations are based on an assumed 86 930 total consumption of mixed fuel at full capacity load (see excel file annex B). This has to be justified and correctly documented by stating the source, the rated fuel mix, the NCV of the fuels, the assumed power plant efficiency, etc.
- 6. The statement that "However, the study concluded that no negative impacts are possible from the proposed project activity. Satisfied with the study and Environmental Management Plan (EMP) proposed to implement during construction and Operation Govt. of India has issued its consent for implementation of project activity" is not correct and has to be deleted. The EIA identified some adverse impacts on the environment.