

CDM project activity registration review form (F-CDM-RR) (By submitting this form, a Party involved (through the designated national authority) or an Executive Board member may request that a review is undertaken)

authority) of an executive board member may request that a review is undertaken	
Designated national authority/Executive Board member submitting this form	
Title of the proposed CDM project activity submitted for registration	Rice husk based cogeneration plant (5 MW) at Shibzada Ajit Singh Nagar District, Punjab by M/s Nahar Industrial Enterprises limited, Ltd; Project activity 1130
Please indicate, in accordance with paragraphs 37 and 40 of the CDM modalities and procedures, which validation requirement(s) may require review. A list of requirements is provided below. Please provide reasons in support of the request for review, including any supporting documentation.	
☐ The following are requirements derived from paragraph 37 o	of the CDM modalities and procedures:
☐ The participation requirements as set out in paragraphs 28 to 30 of the CDM modalities and procedures are satisfied;	
☐ Comments by local stakeholders have been invited, a summary of the comments received has been provided, and a report to the designated operational entity (DOE) on how due account was taken of any comments has been received;	
☐ Project participants have submitted to the DOE documentation on the analysis of the environmental impacts of the project activity, including transboundary impacts and, if those impacts are considered significant by the project participants or the host Party, have undertaken an environmental impact assessment in accordance with procedures as required by the host Party;	
The project activity is expected to result in a reduction in anthropogenic emissions by sources of greenhouse gases that are additional to any that would occur in the absence of the proposed project activity, in accordance with paragraphs 43 to 52 of the CDM modalities and procedures;	
The baseline and monitoring methodologies comply with requirements pertaining to methodologies previously approved by the Executive Board;	
☐Provisions for monitoring, verification and reporting are in accordance with decision 17/CP.7, the CDM modalities and procedures and relevant decisions of the COP/MOP;	
☐ The project activity conforms to all other requirements for CDM project activities in decision 17/CP.7, the CDM modalities and procedures and relevant decisions by the COP/MOP and the Executive Board.	
\square The following are requirements derived from paragraph 40 of the CDM modalities and procedures:	
☐ The DOE shall, prior to the submission of the validation report to the Executive Board, have received from the project participants written approval of voluntary participation from the designated national authority of each Party involved, including confirmation by the host Party that the project activity assists it in achieving sustainable development;	
☐ In accordance with provisions on confidentiality contain DOE shall make publicly available the project design docu	ned in paragraph 27 (h) of the CDM modalities and procedures, the ament;
☐ The DOE shall receive, within 30 days, comments on to accredited non-governmental organizations and make the	he validation requirements from Parties, stakeholders and UNFCCC m publicly available;
☐ After the deadline for receipt of comments, the DOE shinformation provided and taking into account the comment	nall make a determination as to whether, on the basis of the ts received, the project activity should be validated;
☐ The DOE shall inform project participants of its determ project participants will include confirmation of validation a Board;	ination on the validation of the project activity. Notification to the and the date of submission of the validation report to the Executive
	ermines the proposed project activity to be valid, a request for project design document, the written approval of the host Party and ents received.
☐ There are only minor issues which should be addressed by	the DOE / project participants prior to the registration of the project.
Section below to be filled in by UNFCCC secretariat	
Date received at UNFCCC secretariat	09/07/2007

Reason for request:

- 1. The PDD does not provide strong evidence that CDM has been seriously considered in the decision to undertake the project activity.
- 2. The monitoring plan does not include the annual evaluation of whether there is a surplus of biomass in the region and any leakage that may need to be estimated and deducted from the emission reductions in accordance with the Board's "General guidance on leakage in biomass project activities (Version 2)".

UNFCCC/CCNUCC



CDM – Executive Board



page 2