

CDM project activity registration review form (F-CDM-RR) (By submitting this form, a Party involved (through the designated national authority) or an Executive Board member may request that a review is undertaken)

27	,
Designated national authority/Executive Board member submitting this form	
Title of the proposed CDM project activity submitted for registration	Optimal utilization of clinker by increasing the additives in cement production at Holcim Lanka Ltd (HLL), Sri Lanka; Project activity 1084
Please indicate, in accordance with paragraphs 37 and 40 of the CDM modalities and procedures, which validation requirement(s) may require review. A list of requirements is provided below. Please provide reasons in support of the request for review, including any supporting documentation.	
☐ The following are requirements derived from paragraph 37 o	of the CDM modalities and procedures:
☐ The participation requirements as set out in paragraphs	s 28 to 30 of the CDM modalities and procedures are satisfied;
☐ Comments by local stakeholders have been invited, a stothe designated operational entity (DOE) on how due accepted.	summary of the comments received has been provided, and a report count was taken of any comments has been received;
activity, including transboundary impacts and, if those imp	entation on the analysis of the environmental impacts of the project acts are considered significant by the project participants or the host ent in accordance with procedures as required by the host Party;
	n anthropogenic emissions by sources of greenhouse gases that are oposed project activity, in accordance with paragraphs 43 to 52 of
■The baseline and monitoring methodologies comply wit the Executive Board;	h requirements pertaining to methodologies previously approved by
☐Provisions for monitoring, verification and reporting are in accordance with decision 17/CP.7, the CDM modalities and procedures and relevant decisions of the COP/MOP;	
☐ The project activity conforms to all other requirements and procedures and relevant decisions by the COP/MOP and procedures and relevant decisions by the COP/MOP and procedures are conformed to the conformed	for CDM project activities in decision 17/CP.7, the CDM modalities and the Executive Board.
\square The following are requirements derived from paragraph 40 $lpha$	of the CDM modalities and procedures:
☐ The DOE shall, prior to the submission of the validation report to the Executive Board, have received from the project participants written approval of voluntary participation from the designated national authority of each Party involved, including confirmation by the host Party that the project activity assists it in achieving sustainable development;	
☐ In accordance with provisions on confidentiality contained in paragraph 27 (h) of the CDM modalities and procedures, the DOE shall make publicly available the project design document;	
☐ The DOE shall receive, within 30 days, comments on the validation requirements from Parties, stakeholders and UNFCCC accredited non-governmental organizations and make them publicly available;	
☐ After the deadline for receipt of comments, the DOE shall make a determination as to whether, on the basis of the information provided and taking into account the comments received, the project activity should be validated;	
☐ The DOE shall inform project participants of its determination on the validation of the project activity. Notification to the project participants will include confirmation of validation and the date of submission of the validation report to the Executive Board;	
	rmines the proposed project activity to be valid, a request for project design document, the written approval of the host Party and nts received.
\Box There are only minor issues which should be addressed by	the DOE / project participants prior to the registration of the project.
Section below to be filled in by UNFCCC secretariat	
Date received at UNFCCC secretariat	06/08/2007

Reason for request:

- Section B5 of the PDD states that the project commenced in 2002. Section C.1 indicates the start date in 2006. This
 inconsistency should be explained. Also as required by the guidelines for completing section B5 of the PDD it should
 be clearly validated that "incentive from the CDM was seriously considered in the decision to proceed with the project
 activity".
- 2. Further evidence is required to determine that the barriers faced are specific to the increase in blending rates achievable in the baseline.

UNFCCC/CCNUCC



CDM – Executive Board

page 2

- 3. The approved methodology requires project participants to "demonstrate that there is no alternative allocation or use for the additional amount of additives used in the project activity." This should be confirmed for the slag to be used in the project activity.
- the project activity.

 The monitoring plan should contain detailed information on the frequency on which parameters will be measured and how data will be archived.