	signated national authority/Executive Board mber submitting this form			
Title of the proposed CDM project activity submitted for registration		Optimal utilization of clinker by increasing the additives i cement production at Holcim Lanka Ltd (HLL), Sri Lanka Project activity 1084		
vali	ase indicate, in accordance with paragraphs 37 a idation requirement(s) may require review. A list sons in support of the request for review, includi	of requirements is p	rovided below. Please provide	
	The following are requirements derived from paragraph 37 o	of the CDM modalities an	d procedures:	
	☐ The participation requirements as set out in paragraph	requirements as set out in paragraphs 28 to 30 of the CDM modalities and procedures are satisfied;		
	Comments by local stakeholders have been invited, a to the designated operational entity (DOE) on how due ac			
	☐ Project participants have submitted to the DOE documentation on the analysis of the environmental impact activity, including transboundary impacts and, if those impacts are considered significant by the project part Party, have undertaken an environmental impact assessment in accordance with procedures as required by			
	The project activity is expected to result in a reduction in anthropogenic emissions by sources of greenhouse gases that ar additional to any that would occur in the absence of the proposed project activity, in accordance with paragraphs 43 to 52 of the CDM modalities and procedures;			
	The baseline and monitoring methodologies comply with requirements pertaining to methodologies previously approved by the Executive Board;			
	Provisions for monitoring, verification and reporting are in accordance with decision 17/CP.7, the CDM modalities and procedures and relevant decisions of the COP/MOP;			
	The project activity conforms to all other requirements for CDM project activities in decision 17/CP.7, the CDM modalities and procedures and relevant decisions by the COP/MOP and the Executive Board.			
	The following are requirements derived from paragraph 40 o	of the CDM modalities an	d procedures:	
	The DOE shall, prior to the submission of the validation report to the Executive Board, have received from the project participants written approval of voluntary participation from the designated national authority of each Party involved, including confirmation by the host Party that the project activity assists it in achieving sustainable development;			
	In accordance with provisions on confidentiality contained in paragraph 27 (h) of the CDM modalities and procedures, the DOE shall make publicly available the project design document;			
	The DOE shall receive, within 30 days, comments on the validation requirements from Parties, stakeholders and UNFCCC accredited non-governmental organizations and make them publicly available;			
	After the deadline for receipt of comments, the DOE shall make a determination as to whether, on the basis of the nformation provided and taking into account the comments received, the project activity should be validated;			
☐ The DOE shall inform project participants of its determination on the validation of the project project participants will include confirmation of validation and the date of submission of the val Board;				
	☐ The DOE shall submit to the Executive Board, if it deteregistration in the form of a validation report including the an explanation of how it has taken due account of comme	project design document,		
	There are only minor issues which should be addressed by	the DOE / project particip	ants prior to the registration of the project.	
Sec	ction below to be filled in by UNFCCC secretariat			
D - 4	e received at UNFCCC secretariat	09/08/2007		

- Section B5 of the PDD states that the project commenced in 2002. Section C.1 indicates the start date in 2006. This
  inconsistency should be explained. Also as required by the guidelines for completing section B5 of the PDD it should
  be clearly validated that "incentive from the CDM was seriously considered in the decision to proceed with the project
  activity".
- 2. Further evidence is required to determine that the barriers faced are specific to the increase in blending rates achievable in the baseline.



UNFCCC

EB 24 Report Annex 28 page 2

- 3. The approved methodology requires project participants to "demonstrate that there is no alternative allocation or use for the additional amount of additives used in the project activity." This should be confirmed for the slag to be used in the project activity.
- the project activity.The monitoring plan should contain detailed information on the frequency on which parameters will be measured and how data will be archived.