

## CDM project activity registration review form (F-CDM-RR) (By submitting this form, a Party involved (through the designated national authority) or an Executive Board member may request that a review is undertaken)

authority) or an Executive Board member may request that a review is undertaken)	
Designated national authority/Executive Board member submitting this form	
Title of the proposed CDM project activity submitted for registration	1064 Metrogas Watt's Alimentos Package Cogeneration Project
Please indicate, in accordance with paragraphs 37 and 40 of the CDM modalities and procedures, which validation requirement(s) may require review. A list of requirements is provided below. Please provide reasons in support of the request for review, including any supporting documentation.	
$\square$ The following are requirements derived from paragraph 37 $\alpha$	of the CDM modalities and procedures:
$\square$ The participation requirements as set out in paragraph	s 28 to 30 of the CDM modalities and procedures are satisfied;
☐ Comments by local stakeholders have been invited, a summary of the comments received has been provided, and a report to the designated operational entity (DOE) on how due account was taken of any comments has been received;	
☐ Project participants have submitted to the DOE documentation on the analysis of the environmental impacts of the project activity, including transboundary impacts and, if those impacts are considered significant by the project participants or the host Party, have undertaken an environmental impact assessment in accordance with procedures as required by the host Party;	
	ction in anthropogenic emissions by sources of greenhouse gases of the proposed project activity, in accordance with paragraphs 43 to
☐The baseline and monitoring methodologies comply wit the Executive Board;	th requirements pertaining to methodologies previously approved by
☐ Provisions for monitoring, verification and reporting are procedures and relevant decisions of the COP/MOP;	e in accordance with decision 17/CP.7, the CDM modalities and
☐ The project activity conforms to all other requirements for CDM project activities in decision 17/CP.7, the CDM modalities and procedures and relevant decisions by the COP/MOP and the Executive Board.	
$\square$ The following are requirements derived from paragraph 40 $\alpha$	of the CDM modalities and procedures:
☐ The DOE shall, prior to the submission of the validation report to the Executive Board, have received from the project participants written approval of voluntary participation from the designated national authority of each Party involved, including confirmation by the host Party that the project activity assists it in achieving sustainable development;	
☐ In accordance with provisions on confidentiality contained in paragraph 27 (h) of the CDM modalities and procedures, the DOE shall make publicly available the project design document;	
☐ The DOE shall receive, within 30 days, comments on the validation requirements from Parties, stakeholders and UNFCCC accredited non-governmental organizations and make them publicly available;	
After the deadline for receipt of comments, the DOE shall make a determination as to whether, on the basis of the information provided and taking into account the comments received, the project activity should be validated;	
☐ The DOE shall inform project participants of its determination on the validation of the project activity. Notification to the project participants will include confirmation of validation and the date of submission of the validation report to the Executive Board;	
	ermines the proposed project activity to be valid, a request for project design document, the written approval of the host Party and ents received.
$\hfill\Box$ There are only minor issues which should be addressed by	the DOE / project participants prior to the registration of the project.
Section below to be filled in by UNFCCC secretariat	
Date received at UNECCC secretariat	22/05/2007

The DOE has validated that the project activity satisfies the prompt start criteria. However, in its assessment of the institutional barrier additionality test 2A, the DOE has concluded in its validation

report that, "...DNV could not validate this barrier and the test proved to be inconclusive". Therefore further evidence is required to determine whether the project activity is additional.

## UNFCCC/CCNUCC



CDM - Executive Board



AM0014 v2 requires, in the case of third party ownership, that the first three additionality tests result in

the project activity being assessed as additional. However, the DOE has not validated that the project activity passes the institutional barrier additionality test 2A, and therefore additionality has not been assessed in accordance with  $AM0014\ v2$ .