DET NORSKE VERITAS



DET NORSKE VERITAS DNV CERTIFICATION AS

Veritasvegen 1 N-1322 Høvik Norway Tel: +47 6757 9900 Fax: +47 6757 9911 http://www.dnv.com

UNFCCC Secretariat Martin-Luther-King-Strasse 8 D-53153 Bonn Germany

Att: CDM Executive Board

Your ref.: Our ref.: CDM Ref 1034 MRSA/ETEL

Response to request for review "Inversiones Hondureñas Cogeneration Project" (1034)

Dear Members of the CDM Executive Board,

We refer to the requests for review raised by three Board members concerning DNV's request for registration of the "Inversiones Hondureñas Cogeneration Project" (1034), and we would like to provide the following response to the issues raised by the requests for review.

Date:

19 July 2007

Comment 1:

"On page 5 and 6 of the PDD it is stated that in the absence of the project activity the biomass would have been left to decay or burnt uncontrollably whereas on page 10, it has been stated that the biomass would have been used for heat and electricity generation. Further justification is required that all possible alternative scenarios were considered and that scenario 14 is applicable"

DNV Response:

The PDD has been corrected in accordance with the comment above. Until recently, bagasse was burnt to produce energy in a very inefficient way and would not have been left to decay or been uncontrollably burnt. Regarding biomass consumptions during the project activity when compared with the baseline scenario, please consider response below.

Comment 2:

"The thermal firing capacity before and after the project activity should be stated and it should be justified how the requirement of scenario 14 of ACM0006 v4 that the project should "increase the power generation capacity, while the thermal firing capacity is maintained" has been met."

DNV Response:

DNV has verified that, as sugar-cane bagasse is a by-product of sugar production, all incremental biomass residue consumption and associated thermal energy generation during the project activity (in comparison with the baseline scenario) will be due to the company's natural expanding sugar production business as a response to the projected demand increase in sugar market. Thus, such increments can not be attributed to the implementation of the project activity as they would have also occurred in the absence of the project (baseline plant configuration).

It should be noted that the recently implemented baseline scenarios 18 and 19 of ACM0006 (scenarios implemented in version 5 of ACM0006 not available by the time project was submitted for validation) indeed make a provision to increase in thermal firing capacity in projects resulting in improvement of energy efficiency by considering that "(...) in the absence of the project activity, the existing plant would also be retrofitted, but resulting in a lower efficiency of electricity generation than in the project

case (e.g. by using a low-pressure boiler instead of a high-pressure boiler). The retrofitted plant in the baseline is referred to as "reference plant"." However, given that while scenarios 14, 18 and 19 adopt the same formula for estimation of the annual additional quantity of electricity generated as a result of the project implementation, the estimated GHG emission reductions would not change if scenario 18 or 19 were adopted as an alternative.

Finally, it should also be noted that, while registered as a CDM project activity, the project will generate certified emission reductions which will be verified based on the amount of excess electricity that the plant exports to the grid (monitoring parameter) and not based on the estimated additional electricity.

We sincerely hope that the Board accepts our above explanations.

Yours faithfully. for DET NORSKE VERITAS CERTIFICATION AS

Einar Telnes Director International Climate Change Service

Miguel Rescalvo Santandreu *Project Manager* International Climate Change Service