

CDM project activity registration review form (F-CDM-RR) (By submitting this form, a Party involved (through the designated national authority) or an Executive Board member may request that a review is undertaken)

additionity) or an Executive Boar	a member may request that a review is undertakeny
Designated national authority/Executive Board member submitting this form	
Title of the proposed CDM project activity submitted for registration	Kunak Bio Energy Project; Project activity 1014
Please indicate, in accordance with paragraphs 37 a validation requirement(s) may require review. A list reasons in support of the request for review, includi	of requirements is provided below. Please provide
☐ The following are requirements derived from paragraph 37 c	of the CDM modalities and procedures:
☐ The participation requirements as set out in paragraphs	s 28 to 30 of the CDM modalities and procedures are satisfied;
☐ Comments by local stakeholders have been invited, a sto the designated operational entity (DOE) on how due accounts to the designated operational entity (DOE) on how due accounts to the designated operational entity (DOE) and the designated operational entity (DOE) are the designated operational entity (DOE) and the designated operational entity (DOE) are the designated operational entity (DOE) and the designated operational entity (DOE) are the designated operational entity (DOE) and the designated operational entity (DOE) are the designated operational entity (DOE) and the designated operational entity (DOE) are the designated operational entity (DOE) and the designated operational entity (DOE) are the designated operational entity (DOE) and the designated operational entity (DOE) are the designated operational entity (DOE) and the designated operational entity (DOE) are the designated operational entity (DOE) are the designated operational entity (DOE) are the designation of the designation of the designated operation of the designation	summary of the comments received has been provided, and a report count was taken of any comments has been received;
activity, including transboundary impacts and, if those imp	entation on the analysis of the environmental impacts of the project acts are considered significant by the project participants or the host nent in accordance with procedures as required by the host Party;
	n anthropogenic emissions by sources of greenhouse gases that are oposed project activity, in accordance with paragraphs 43 to 52 of
The baseline and monitoring methodologies comply with the Executive Board;	h requirements pertaining to methodologies previously approved by
☐Provisions for monitoring, verification and reporting are procedures and relevant decisions of the COP/MOP;	in accordance with decision 17/CP.7, the CDM modalities and
The project activity conforms to all other requirements and procedures and relevant decisions by the COP/MOP at	for CDM project activities in decision 17/CP.7, the CDM modalities and the Executive Board.
\square The following are requirements derived from paragraph 40 c	of the CDM modalities and procedures:
	n report to the Executive Board, have received from the project n the designated national authority of each Party involved, including sts it in achieving sustainable development;
☐ In accordance with provisions on confidentiality contain DOE shall make publicly available the project design docu	ned in paragraph 27 (h) of the CDM modalities and procedures, the iment;
☐ The DOE shall receive, within 30 days, comments on the accredited non-governmental organizations and make the	he validation requirements from Parties, stakeholders and UNFCCC m publicly available;
After the deadline for receipt of comments, the DOE shall make a determination as to whether, on the basis of the information provided and taking into account the comments received, the project activity should be validated;	
	ination on the validation of the project activity. Notification to the and the date of submission of the validation report to the Executive
	rmines the proposed project activity to be valid, a request for project design document, the written approval of the host Party and nts received.
☐ There are only minor issues which should be addressed by	the DOE / project participants prior to the registration of the project.
Section below to be filled in by UNFCCC secretariat	
Date received at UNFCCC secretariat	10/06/2007

Reasons for request:

- 1. Further justification of the benchmark rate applied is required.
- 2. Further justification is required regarding the application of scenario 3 of ACM0006v4, as the project would appear to be a power capacity expansion rather than a greenfield site. The chose of scenario has been accepted, as there was no energy generation on the site prior to the project activity. This is not consistent with the statement in the PDD regarding the baseline for heat generation, "the continuation of the use of existing biomass fired boilers with low efficiency". If the project is indeed a greenfield site where no energy production previously

UNFCCC/CCNUCC



CDM - Executive Board



page 2

- took place then an investment comparison would be more appropriate for the investment analysis.
- 3. The generation of methane from landfilled biomass should commence one year subsequent to the landfilling. Therefore more information should be provided as to why the methane avoidance is estimated to occur in year one of the project activity.
- 4. The validation report indicates that the validation has been conducted in accordance with the small scale criteria even though the project is applying a methodology for a large scale project.