

## CDM project activity registration review form (F-CDM-RR) (By submitting this form, a Party involved (through the designated national authority) or an Executive Board member may request that a review is undertaken)

additionly, or an excount of board monitor may request that a review to analytication,	
Designated national authority/Executive Board member submitting this form	
Title of the proposed CDM project activity submitted for registration	Hainan Province Diaoluohe Hydropower Project (0993)
Please indicate, in accordance with paragraphs 37 and 40 of the CDM modalities and procedures, which validation requirement(s) may require review. A list of requirements is provided below. Please provide reasons in support of the request for review, including any supporting documentation.	
☐ The following are requirements derived from paragraph 37 o	f the CDM modalities and procedures:
☐ The participation requirements as set out in paragraphs	s 28 to 30 of the CDM modalities and procedures are satisfied;
☐ Comments by local stakeholders have been invited, a s to the designated operational entity (DOE) on how due acc	summary of the comments received has been provided, and a report count was taken of any comments has been received;
activity, including transboundary impacts and, if those impa	entation on the analysis of the environmental impacts of the project acts are considered significant by the project participants or the host ent in accordance with procedures as required by the host Party;
	in anthropogenic emissions by sources of greenhouse gases that e proposed project activity, in accordance with paragraphs 43 to 52
☐ The baseline and monitoring methodologies comply wit the Executive Board;	h requirements pertaining to methodologies previously approved by
☐ Provisions for monitoring, verification and reporting are procedures and relevant decisions of the COP/MOP;	in accordance with decision 17/CP.7, the CDM modalities and
☐ The project activity conforms to all other requirements f and procedures and relevant decisions by the COP/MOP a	for CDM project activities in decision 17/CP.7, the CDM modalities and the Executive Board.
☐ The following are requirements derived from paragraph 40 of the CDM modalities and procedures:	
☐ The DOE shall, prior to the submission of the validation report to the Executive Board, have received from the project participants written approval of voluntary participation from the designated national authority of each Party involved, including confirmation by the host Party that the project activity assists it in achieving sustainable development;	
☐ In accordance with provisions on confidentiality contained in paragraph 27 (h) of the CDM modalities and procedures, the DOE shall make publicly available the project design document;	
☐ The DOE shall receive, within 30 days, comments on the validation requirements from Parties, stakeholders and UNFCCC accredited non-governmental organizations and make them publicly available;	
After the deadline for receipt of comments, the DOE shall make a determination as to whether, on the basis of the information provided and taking into account the comments received, the project activity should be validated;	
☐ The DOE shall inform project participants of its determination on the validation of the project activity. Notification to the project participants will include confirmation of validation and the date of submission of the validation report to the Executive Board;	
	rmines the proposed project activity to be valid, a request for project design document, the written approval of the host Party and nts received.
$\hfill\Box$ There are only minor issues which should be addressed by t	he DOE / project participants prior to the registration of the project.
Section below to be filled in by UNFCCC secretariat	
Date received at UNFCCC secretariat	30/04/2007

## **Reasons for Request:**

1. A benchmark rate of 10% is assumed, however this is derived from a 12 year old study.

The investment analysis to demonstrate additionality is not satisfactorily, because the source used for benchmark IRR is too old, as of 1995 ("Economic Evaluation Code for Small Hydropower Projects", issued by Ministry of Water Resources in 1995, Document No. SL16-95).

2. An IRR without CDM revenues of 7.9% is presented without sensitivity analysis. This IRR rises to 10.2% with CDM revenues.

No sensitivity analyses were carried out.

3. The PP claims that it was not possible to source financing for the project without a CDM investor.

The financial barrier analysis claims that the project having the installed capacity smaller than 50MW could not secure loans from the local commercial banks. However no evidence has been presented to support this claim. The validation report claims that the DOE has validated one document. However, that document represents only one bank (China Construction Bank in Lingshui County), not necessarily all commercial banks.