

CDM project activity registration review form (F-CDM-RR) (By submitting this form, a Party involved (through the designated national authority) or an Executive Board member may request that a review is undertaken)

	,
Designated national authority/Executive Board member submitting this form	
Title of the proposed CDM project activity submitted for registration	Lianghekou 15 MW Small Hydropower Project, Gansu Province (0989)
Please indicate, in accordance with paragraphs 37 and 40 of the CDM modalities and procedures, which validation requirement(s) may require review. A list of requirements is provided below. Please provide reasons in support of the request for review, including any supporting documentation.	
☐ The following are requirements derived from paragraph 37 o	f the CDM modalities and procedures:
☐ The participation requirements as set out in paragraphs	s 28 to 30 of the CDM modalities and procedures are satisfied;
☐ Comments by local stakeholders have been invited, a stothe designated operational entity (DOE) on how due acc	summary of the comments received has been provided, and a report count was taken of any comments has been received;
activity, including transboundary impacts and, if those impa	entation on the analysis of the environmental impacts of the project acts are considered significant by the project participants or the host ent in accordance with procedures as required by the host Party;
	n anthropogenic emissions by sources of greenhouse gases that e proposed project activity, in accordance with paragraphs 43 to 52
The baseline and monitoring methodologies comply with the Executive Board;	ith requirements pertaining to methodologies previously approved by
☐ Provisions for monitoring, verification and reporting are in accordance with decision 17/CP.7, the CDM modalities and procedures and relevant decisions of the COP/MOP;	
The project activity conforms to all other requirements and procedures and relevant decisions by the COP/MOP a	for CDM project activities in decision 17/CP.7, the CDM modalities and the Executive Board.
\square The following are requirements derived from paragraph 40 o	f the CDM modalities and procedures:
☐ The DOE shall, prior to the submission of the validation report to the Executive Board, have received from the project participants written approval of voluntary participation from the designated national authority of each Party involved, including confirmation by the host Party that the project activity assists it in achieving sustainable development;	
☐ In accordance with provisions on confidentiality contained in paragraph 27 (h) of the CDM modalities and procedures, the DOE shall make publicly available the project design document;	
☐ The DOE shall receive, within 30 days, comments on the validation requirements from Parties, stakeholders and UNFCCC accredited non-governmental organizations and make them publicly available;	
After the deadline for receipt of comments, the DOE shall make a determination as to whether, on the basis of the information provided and taking into account the comments received, the project activity should be validated;	
☐ The DOE shall inform project participants of its determination on the validation of the project activity. Notification to the project participants will include confirmation of validation and the date of submission of the validation report to the Executive Board;	
	rmines the proposed project activity to be valid, a request for project design document, the written approval of the host Party and nts received.
$\hfill \square$ There are only minor issues which should be addressed by t	he DOE / project participants prior to the registration of the project.
Section below to be filled in by UNFCCC secretariat	
Date received at UNFCCC secretariat	29/04/2007

Reasons for Request:

- 1. The maximum output capacity of the project activity has not been transparently shown to conform to the small scale threshold of 15MW.
- 2. It is unclear whether the calculation of tCO2e emissions in tables A1, A2, A3 of Annex 3: Baseline Information of the PDD are correct. It should be transparently demonstrated that:

- the amounts and units of fuel, and their exponents (e.g. Natural gas 28.60 107m3), calorific values and carbon content have been accurately recorded on the tables; and
- the operating margin emission factor has been calculated correctly.
- 3. The proposed start date of the crediting period is before the date of registration. This crediting period should be adjusted.