

 CDM project activity issuance review form <i>(By submitting this form, a Party involved (through the designated national authority) or an Executive Board member may request that a review is undertaken)</i>	
Designated national authority/Executive Board member submitting this form (Name in print)	
Title of the proposed CDM project activity for which issuance is requested	Incomex Hydroelectric Project; Project Activity 0968
DOE that requested for issuance and date of request	Det Norske Veritas Certification AS (DNV) 18-12-07
Please indicate, in accordance with paragraphs 65 of the CDM modalities and procedures, for which reason(s) you request review. (Place a cross (X) in front of the reason)	
___ <i>Fraud</i> ___ <i>Malfeasance</i> ___x___ <i>Incompetence</i>	
Please indicate reasons for the request for review and attach any supporting documentation to this request form. (if space is not sufficient please attach further reasons)	
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The monitoring report is not in accordance with the monitoring plan. The project participant is required to provide data on the gross electricity generated by the project and electricity consumed by the project in accordance with the monitoring plan. 2. The project participant stated that the intended capacity of the Monte Belo plant has been changed from 4 MW to 4.8MW due to the ANEEL (the Brazilian national electricity agency) resolution. The monitoring report added that in 2007 the new capacity of 4.8 MW for this plant was confirmed by ANEEL, while the ANEEL resolution indicated that the intended capacity of the Monte Belo plant is 4.0MW at the time of development of the PDD. Further clarification is required on which is the capacity specified by the valid ANEEL resolution and furthermore which was the original capacity when "the plant started operating on 01 January 2001". 3. Further clarification is required on how the DOE verified the change in the capacity of the project activity and how it was verified that "The capacity of 4.0 MW for Monte Belo indicated in the PDD is thus an error and the capacity of the hydropower plant at Monte Belo has always been 4.8 MW" as stated in the Verification Report. In addition, the DOE is requested to explain which is the nature of the "correction of the total installed electricity generation capacity for the Monte Belo plant", as stated in the Verification Report. 4. The DOE shall further clarify and substantiate the statement that "PDD states that the generation capacity of the Monte Belo small hydro power unit is 4.0 MW, while it was verified that at this plant each of the two turbine-generator set has electricity generation capacity of 2.4 MW as confirmed by ANEEL's Resolution 589/2006 and also by the letter 1090/07 /11/ issued by ANEEL on 28 June 2007". The increase in capacity is 20% and the statement only refers to the verification of the installed capacity when starting operation, but not to the discrepancy with the PDD, which is merely considered an error by the DOE. In the case of the Monte Belo plant the registered project activity as per the PDD has a capacity of 4.0 MW and the DOE shall further explain their acceptance of the validity of this increase when verification was performed. 5. The DOE states in the Verification Report that "In first version of the monitoring report, the amount of electricity exported to the grid by Incomex/Cassol -Rio Branco wrongly included 7 443 MWh of electricity generated by the Saldanha small hydro power plant, which is another power plant operated by Grupo Cassol and it is located near Rio Branco power plant and along the Saldanha River. During its operational test phase (from August 2005 to March 2006), all electricity generated by the Saldanha small hydro power plant was temporarily injected to the Rondônia-Acre grid via the transmission lines of Rio Branco power plant. This procedure was authorized by ANEEL's Resolution 727/2002 and letter CT/DT/200/2005 of CERON. As the Saldanha small hydro power unit is not part of the registered CDM project activity, the net electricity generation for the Rio Branco power plant was recalculated by deducting the amount of net electricity generated by the Saldanha small hydro power plant (which was temporarily injected in the transmission lines of Rio Branco power plant)." The DOE is required to clarify if there is no substantive change in the application of the methodology and monitoring plan by performing the said deduction and how the issue has been addressed in a systematic manner to avoid further recurrence. 	
Section below to be filled in by UNFCCC secretariat	
Date received at UNFCCC secretariat	27/01/2008