

Mr. Lex de Jonge The Chair, CDM Executive Board **UNFCCC Secretariat** CDMinfo@unfccc.int

March 9th, 2009

Dear Mr. Lex de Jonge,

Re: Request for review for request for issuance for "26MW Biomass (Cogeneration) based Power generation Project activity "(Ref. no. 0865) for the monitoring period 1st July 2004 to 31st March 2007.

SGS has been informed that the request for issuance for the CDM project activity "26MW Biomass (Cogeneration) based Power generation Project activity "(Ref. no. 0865) for the monitoring period 1st July 2004 to 31st March 2007 is under consideration for review because three requests for review have been received from members of the Board.

The requests for review are based on the same reasons outlined below. SGS would like to provide an initial response to the issue raised by the requests for review:

Request for clarification to the DOE/PP:

Pequest for Review Issue 1:

The monitoring report stated that 3850 was the average tonnes of cane crushing per day while this cane crushing capacity was stated in the PDD as 3500 tonnes per day. Further clarification is required what impact, would the change in the cane crushing have on the reference plant efficiency.

SGS' Response:

The registered PDD mentioned the cane crushing capacity as 3500 tonnes per day; this capacity of 3500 tonnes per day was checked from RT 8(C) forms submitted to central government as per central excise rules 83. These RT 8(C) forms also mention the sanctioned capacity as 3500 tonnes per day on page number 5 of each forms. The same are attached as Annex 1a (for 2004 -2005), Annex 1b (for 2005 -2006) and Annex 1c (2006 -2007) with this response. This capacity of 3500 tonnes per day was also mentioned in ISGEC Thompson supplier letter dated 14th September 2006 (attached as Annex 2 with this response).

The Monitoring report submitted during request for Issuance shows the typographical error and the average cane crushed for the monitoring period from 1st July 2004 to 31st March 2007 was 2284.27 tonnes per day. The same was also verified during the verification site visit from the RT 8(C) forms attached as Annex 1a, 1b and 1c with this response. The cane crushing capacity 3850 tonnes per day mentioned in the monitoring report was a typographical error which is corrected now. Please see the below table for average crushing capacity of 2284.27 tonnes per day as verified with RT 8(c) forms:

Sr. No.	Period	Gross Season Days	TCD
1	29 Jul 04 - 31 Mar 05	246	1068.80
2	27 Jul 05 - 04 May 06	282	2137.00
3	27 Jul 06 - 26 Jun 07	335	3647.00
	Average TCD (2004 - 2007)		2284.27



There is no impact on the reference plant efficiency as the reference plant efficiency was calculated for 3500 tonnes per day capacity during validation. The reference plant efficiency is not directly dependent on cane crushing capacity and operating days. This was checked with the spreadsheet attached with this response as Annex 3. The amended monitoring report mentioning the corrected figure of 2284.27 average tonnes of cane crushed for the monitoring period from 1st July 2004 to 31st March 2007 is attached as Annex 4 with this response.

We feel that the clarification sought by the board members has been taken into account. We do however apologize for the overlooked typo in the monitoring report.

Pankaj Mohan (0091 9871794671) will be the contact person for the review process and is available to address questions from the Board during the consideration of the review in case the Executive Board wishes.

Yours sincerely

Sanjeev Kumar

Technical Reviewer

Sanjeev.kumar@sgs.com

T: +91 124 2399990 - 98

M: + 91 9871794628

Pankaj Mohan

Lead Auditor

Pankaj.Mohan@sgs.com

T: + 91 124 2399990 - 98

M: + 91 9871794671

Annex 1a: RT 8(C) Forms from 2004 -2005

Annex 1b: RT 8(C) Forms from 2005 -2006

Annex 1c: RT 8(C) Forms from 2006 -2007

Annex 2: ISGEC Supplier letter

Annex 3: Reference Plant Efficiency Spreadsheet

Annex 4: Revised Monitoring Report

- 000 -