

 CDM project activity issuance review form <i>(By submitting this form, a Party involved (through the designated national authority) or an Executive Board member may request that a review is undertaken)</i>	
Designated national authority/Executive Board member submitting this form (Name in print)	
Title of the proposed CDM project activity for which issuance is requested	Ceran's Monte Claro Run of River Hydropower Plant CDM Project Activity; Project Activity 0773
DOE that requested for issuance and date of request	SGS 30-08-07
Please indicate, in accordance with paragraphs 65 of the CDM modalities and procedures, for which reason(s) you request review. (Place a cross (X) in front of the reason)	
<input type="checkbox"/> <i>Fraud</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Malfeasance</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Incompetence</i>	
Please indicate reasons for the request for review and attach any supporting documentation to this request form. (if space is not sufficient please attach further reasons)	
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The monitoring plan included in the PDD, validated and registered, has not been appropriately applied. The section D.2.1.3 of the PDD states that the recording frequency of EGy is "15 minutes-measurement and monthly recording". However, the Monitoring Report did not provide the relevant information as per requirement. Further clarification is required. 2. The DOE verified that the project participant has correctly applied the registered monitoring plan and the approved methodology. During the site-visit, the DOE checked the calibration certificates of the installed meters. The DOE verified the calculation of the emission reductions. The DOE raised three corrective request actions (CARs) regarding the inconsistency between the monitoring report, monitoring plan and the calibration certificates. However, the verification report did not provide sufficient information on how the DOE resolved the two CARs regarding the inconsistency between the monitoring report and the registered monitoring plan. Further clarification is required. 3. The DOE did not provide the information regarding the "Interviews with the project participants and local stakeholders". Further information is required. 4. By stating that "the verification checklist is used as an internal report only", the DOE did not disclose this checklist. This information shall be provided to facilitate the appraisal of the verification activities performed by the DOE. 	
Section below to be filled in by UNFCCC secretariat	
Date received at UNFCCC secretariat	14/09/2007