

 <b>CDM project activity issuance review form</b> <i>(By submitting this form, a Party involved (through the designated national authority) or an Executive Board member may request that a review is undertaken)</i>	
<b>Designated national authority/Executive Board member submitting this form (Name in print)</b>	
<b>Title of the proposed CDM project activity for which issuance is requested</b>	0679 Optimization of steam consumption at the evaporator
<b>DOE that requested for issuance and date of request</b>	08/10/07 SGS
<b>Please indicate, in accordance with paragraphs 65 of the CDM modalities and procedures, for which reason(s) you request review. (Place a cross (X) in front of the reason)</b>	
<input type="checkbox"/> <i>Fraud</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Malfeasance</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Incompetence</i>	
<b>Please indicate reasons for the request for review and attach any supporting documentation to this request form. (if space is not sufficient please attach further reasons)</b>	
<p>1. The annual amount of black liquor produced after the project implementation is significantly higher than the baseline, which was based on one month production only. More information is required on black liquor production capacity in the baseline, and whether there were periods when productions during project implementation were beyond the normal production range <math>\pm 5\%</math> and how the specific steam consumption ratio (SSCR) were calculated during these periods.</p> <p>2. Additional consumption of electricity was calculated as the difference of electricity consumption in the baseline and in the project as per the PDD. However, the methodology indicates the measurement or calculation based on the nameplate rating of the electrical equipment should be used for monitor additional electricity consumption. Since baseline electricity consumption was only based on one month data, further clarification is required if this approach is considered conservative and in accordance with the methodology.</p> <p>3. The values of steam pressure and temperature for estimating enthalpy appear to be constant for over 3 years period covered by this monitoring period. Further explanation is required.</p> <p>4. The calculation of the following parameters in the spreadsheet seem to be incorrect: steam production in November 2003, total fuel consumption in December 2004, and steam enthalpy calculation from May 2004 onwards due to addition of Boiler 6. Clarification is required.</p>	
<b>Section below to be filled in by UNFCCC secretariat</b>	
Date received at UNFCCC secretariat	29/10/2007