

Mr. Sethi Chair, CDM Executive Board UNFCCC Secretariat CDMinfo@unfccc.int

11 Feb 2008

Re Request for review of the request for issuance for the CDM project activity "Jilin Taonan Wind Power Project "(Ref. no. 0599)

Dear Mr. Sethi and members of the Board,

SGS has been informed that the request for issuance for the CDM project activity "Jilin Taonan Wind Power Project "(Ref. no. 0599) is under consideration for review.

Through this letter we would like to comment on the reasons for review and provide additional information.

The first concern in the request states:

The electricity generation for the calculation of the emission reduction in the monitoring report included the generation from 25 November 2006 to 24 November 2007, while this monitoring period starts from 1 December 2006 to 24 November 2007. Further clarification is required on how the DOE verified the emission reductions in accordance with the respective monitoring period.

SGS reply:

As what has been provided in the monitoring report and verification report, the generation from 25 November 2006 to 31 November 2006 was not included in the calculation of emission reductions in this reporting period which started from 1 December 2006 to 24 November 2007.

Based on previous rule of the grid company valid before January 2007, the determination of electricity supplied in a month was based on the <u>calendar month</u>, <u>as from February 2007 inclusive</u>, the cut off date of determining the electricity supplied to the grid <u>was changed to 24:00 on 24th (or 00:00 on 25th) in each month</u>. Please refer to enclosed announcement issued on 13 Jan 2007 by the grid company, that's why the monthly reporting periods were changed accordingly in this reporting period. We regret that this was not correctly reflected in the monitoring report and verification report (section 4), although this did not affect the total electricity supplied/emission reductions in this reporting period, relevant description about the periods (Dec 2006, Jan 2007 and Feb 2007) have been corrected in revised reports and are attached to this letter as Annex 1 and 2.

The second concern in the request states:

Further clarification is required on the Net Output Power Transmitted to the Grid from Project Activity as provided in the table in page 8 of the Monitoring Report regarding the start date of each period.



SGS reply:

As mentioned above, the description about cut-off date for determining monthly electricity supplied in December 2006, January 2007 was not correct. This has been revised in Monitoring Report version 3 (Annex 1).

The third concern in the request states:

The monitoring report indicates that the meter readings are cross-checked with the receipts of power sales when readings are in doubt. Although this is in line with the monitoring plan in the registered PDD, it does not conform to the QA/QC requirement of the approved consolidated methodology ACM0002 Version 6, that indicates that meter readings be routinely double checked with sales receipts. Further clarification is required on why the need for revision of the monitoring plan was not considered necessary.

SGS reply:

As what has been followed in the project and monitoring report as well as described in the verification report (para 3, 4 and 5 in section 3.2), when calculating emission reductions, the meter readings were routinely double checked with sales receipts (Invoices and Electricity Transaction Notes) as per ACM0002 Version 6. This is in line with QA/QC requirements of both ACM0002 and the registered PDD. The description in Monitoring Report version 2 "Monthly electricity sales invoices will also be available as an additional check if there is a failure/uncertainty in the data recorded by the metering system" was only to explain how to deal with meter failure on top of the routine QA/QC procedures. It is SGS' opinion that QA/QC procedures in the registered PDD and/or in monitoring process are in compliance with ACM0002 Version 6, and consequently is not part of the related applicability given in Annex 34 of EB 26 meeting report about revision of monitoring plan.

However, relevant description in Monitoring Report has been rephrased to avoid misinterpretation, please refer to Monitoring Report version 3 (Annex 1).

The fourth concern in the request states:

The DOE shall further clarify whether, according to their competence requirements, a trainee can be a lead assessor in the assessment team.

SGS reply:

Please note that Sarah Ruan Sha was a <u>lead assessor</u> not a trainee at time of this verification. This has been reflected in the revised Verification Report (Annex 2). Her Statement of Competency (Annex 3) reflects that she was signed of on 25 November 2007. Referring to her as Lead Assessor Trainee was a mistake that was overlooked.

We hope that the above clarifications and attached information addresses the concerns of the Board. Sarah Ruan Sha (+86 13552917265) will be the main contact for the review process and is available to address questions, if needed by the Board.

Yours sincerely,

Sarah Ruan Sha Lead Assessor <u>Sarah.Ruan@sgs.com</u> T: +86 13552917265 Irma Lubrecht Technical Reviewer Irma.Lubrecht@sgs.com T: +31 651851777



Annexes :

- 1. Monitoring Report version 3

- Revised Verification Report
 Statement of Competency Sara Ruan Sha
 Grid's Notification about changing date of monthly electricity transaction.