



Industrie Service

Choose certainty.  
Add value.

TÜV SÜD Industrie Service GmbH · 80684 München · Germany

## CDM Executive Board

Your reference/letter of	Our reference/name	Tel. extension/E-mail	Fax extension	Date/Document	Page
	IS-CMS-MUC/KI Thomas Kleiser	+49-89 5791-2686 Thomas.Kleiser@tuev-sued.de	+49-89 5791-1186	2009-01-22	1 of 3

### Request for review

Dear Sirs,

Please find below the response to the request for review formulated for the CDM project with the registration number 0614. In case you have any further inquiries please let us know as we kindly assist you.

Yours sincerely,

Thomas Kleiser  
Carbon Management Service

## **Response to the CDM Executive Board**

### **Issue 1:**

Further clarification is required on how the DOE verified that the meter recalibration which was conducted more than 3 years after previous calibration is in line with the "General Guidance to SSC CDM Methodologies".

### **Response by Project Participant:**

The project developer is providing a document issued by CENACE where it is clearly stated that the calibration that took place on November 11, 2008 led to the conclusion that the metering equipment, without having been previously adjusted, has kept its accuracy within the accepted accuracy range.

This means that the metering equipment worked properly (i.e. calibrated) between the point in time where the previous calibration has been done and November 11, 2008, when the most recent calibration took place.

In addition, as confirmed by the letter issued by EEQ, power generators such as PERLABI, that do not fall under the category of "major generators" are not required to have their meters calibrated every two years; a calibration is only required when there is concern about the accuracy of the measurement.

To avoid further concerns, the project developer is willing to take the metering equipment accuracy of 0.5% into account and to reduce the calculated amount of CER by 0.5%, in order to be conservative.

### **Response by TÜV SÜD:**

The meter calibration has been performed on November 2008. The calibration certificate clearly stated that during the calibration no adjustment has been realized and that the meter maintained the required accuracy. Please see attached calibration sheet as evidence (original pdf and translation xls). Based on this statement it is clear that during the complete period between the last calibration and the one realized on November 2008 the meter was working properly.

Additionally as confirmed by "EEQ" the project owner did not have any requirement to calibrate the meter and that neither the methodology nor the PDD mentioned any frequency for the calibration of the meter (see attached "letter by EEQ.pdf"). Furthermore the compliance with the guidance that required a recalibration to be performed at least every 3 years will be assured for the further periods as the calibration sheet clearly stated that the next calibration will be in November 2010, additionally the verification report will include as a FAR the requirement to comply with "General Guidance to SSC CDM Methodologies".

Finally the project participants agreed to discount the accuracy of the meter from the total electricity produced in order to calculate the emission reductions for this period.