

CDM project activity registration review form (F-CDM-RR) (By submitting this form, a Party involved (through the designated national authority) or an Executive Board member may request that a review is undertaken)

authority) of an Executive Board member may request that a review is undertakeny	
Designated national authority/Executive Board member submitting this form	
Title of the proposed CDM project activity submitted for registration	Paramount Integrated Corporation Methane Recovery and Electricity Generation (0605)
Please indicate, in accordance with paragraphs 37 and 40 of the CDM modalities and procedures, which validation requirement(s) may require review. A list of requirements is provided below. Please provide reasons in support of the request for review, including any supporting documentation.	
☐ The following are requirements derived from paragraph 37 of the CDM modalities and procedures:	
☐ The participation requirements as set out in paragraphs 28 to 30 of the CDM modalities and procedures are satisfied;	
☐ Comments by local stakeholders have been invited, a summary of the comments received has been provided, and a report to the designated operational entity (DOE) on how due account was taken of any comments has been received;	
☐ Project participants have submitted to the DOE documentation on the analysis of the environmental impacts of the project activity, including transboundary impacts and, if those impacts are considered significant by the project participants or the host Party, have undertaken an environmental impact assessment in accordance with procedures as required by the host Party;	
☐The project activity is expected to result in a reduction in anthropogenic emissions by sources of greenhouse gases that are additional to any that would occur in the absence of the proposed project activity, in accordance with paragraphs 43 to 52 of the CDM modalities and procedures;	
☐ The baseline and monitoring methodologies comply with requirements pertaining to methodologies previously approved by the Executive Board;	
☐ Provisions for monitoring, verification and reporting are in accordance with decision 17/CP.7, the CDM modalities and procedures and relevant decisions of the COP/MOP;	
☑ The project activity conforms to all other requirements for CDM project activities in decision 17/CP.7, the CDM modalities and procedures and relevant decisions by the COP/MOP and the Executive Board.	
☐ The following are requirements derived from paragraph 40 of the CDM modalities and procedures:	
☐ The DOE shall, prior to the submission of the validation report to the Executive Board, have received from the project participants written approval of voluntary participation from the designated national authority of each Party involved, including confirmation by the host Party that the project activity assists it in achieving sustainable development;	
☐ In accordance with provisions on confidentiality contained in paragraph 27 (h) of the CDM modalities and procedures, the DOE shall make publicly available the project design document;	
☐ The DOE shall receive, within 30 days, comments on the validation requirements from Parties, stakeholders and UNFCCC accredited non-governmental organizations and make them publicly available;	
☐ After the deadline for receipt of comments, the DOE shall make a determination as to whether, on the basis of the information provided and taking into account the comments received, the project activity should be validated;	
☐ The DOE shall inform project participants of its determination on the validation of the project activity. Notification to the project participants will include confirmation of validation and the date of submission of the validation report to the Executive Board;	
☐ The DOE shall submit to the Executive Board, if it determines the proposed project activity to be valid, a request for registration in the form of a validation report including the project design document, the written approval of the host Party and an explanation of how it has taken due account of comments received.	
☐ There are only minor issues which should be addressed by t	he DOE / project participants prior to the registration of the project.
Section below to be filled in by UNFCCC secretariat	
Date received at UNECCC secretariat	19/10/2006

Reasons for Request:

The following clarifications are needed:

i. The baseline emissions for diesel based electricity generation are calculated as 644 tonnes CO_{2e}/year (PDD section E.1.2.4). However, the same has been estimated as 1200.34 tonnes CO_{2e}/year (PDD section E.2). This results in stating different total baseline emissions/ emission reductions in sections E1.2.4 and E.2. This needs to be corrected and reported consistently both in PDD and validation report as well (p. A-29, Table 3, CL3 and emission reduction calculation of 7582 vs 8809 t, p. A-21).

- ii. The validation report mentions that the surplus biogas will be flared. The validation report states in other sections in the document as well as regards flare efficiency. Table 3, page 24 mentions that the actual flare and generator efficiency will be monitored. But the PDD mentions that no flare system is installed. This needs clarification.
- iii. The PDD states that the generator is a dual fuel system and Liquified Petroleum Gas (LPG) will be used in case of bio-digester failure. The validation report does not mention anything about LPG. Hence the project developer should provide clarification on the use of LPG and if so, include LPG consumption as one of the monitoring parameters.
- iv. The DOE has not appropriately dealt with all the validation requirements. The DOE has not summarized the local stakeholder (LSH) comments. The validation report states that the LSH comments were received through a public forum held on 6th May 2006 and also mentions that no adverse comments were received. However the PDD mentions that the stakeholders' meeting was held on 16 June 2006 and also provides the detailed minutes and summary of the meeting. The concerns raised by the LSH about the project are strong and critical. Hence the DOE has to assess whether stakeholder comments were adequately taken into account.