

 CDM project activity registration review form (F-CDM-RR) <i>(By submitting this form, a Party involved (through the designated national authority) or an Executive Board member may request that a review is undertaken)</i>	
Designated national authority/Executive Board member submitting this form	
Title of the proposed CDM project activity submitted for registration	Saihanba North 45.05 MW Windfarm Project (0576)
Please indicate, in accordance with paragraphs 37 and 40 of the CDM modalities and procedures, which validation requirement(s) may require review. A list of requirements is provided below. Please provide reasons in support of the request for review, including any supporting documentation. ☐ <i>The following are requirements derived from paragraph 37 of the CDM modalities and procedures:</i> ☐ The participation requirements as set out in paragraphs 28 to 30 of the CDM modalities and procedures are satisfied; ☐ Comments by local stakeholders have been invited, a summary of the comments received has been provided, and a report to the designated operational entity (DOE) on how due account was taken of any comments has been received; ☐ Project participants have submitted to the DOE documentation on the analysis of the environmental impacts of the project activity, including transboundary impacts and, if those impacts are considered significant by the project participants or the host Party, have undertaken an environmental impact assessment in accordance with procedures as required by the host Party; ☐ The project activity is expected to result in a reduction in anthropogenic emissions by sources of greenhouse gases that are additional to any that would occur in the absence of the proposed project activity, in accordance with paragraphs 43 to 52 of the CDM modalities and procedures; ☒ The baseline and monitoring methodologies comply with requirements pertaining to methodologies previously approved by the Executive Board; ☐ Provisions for monitoring, verification and reporting are in accordance with decision 17/CP.7, the CDM modalities and procedures and relevant decisions of the COP/MOP; ☒ The project activity conforms to all other requirements for CDM project activities in decision 17/CP.7, the CDM modalities and procedures and relevant decisions by the COP/MOP and the Executive Board. ☐ <i>The following are requirements derived from paragraph 40 of the CDM modalities and procedures:</i> ☐ The DOE shall, prior to the submission of the validation report to the Executive Board, have received from the project participants written approval of voluntary participation from the designated national authority of each Party involved, including confirmation by the host Party that the project activity assists it in achieving sustainable development; ☐ In accordance with provisions on confidentiality contained in paragraph 27 (h) of the CDM modalities and procedures, the DOE shall make publicly available the project design document; ☐ The DOE shall receive, within 30 days, comments on the validation requirements from Parties, stakeholders and UNFCCC accredited non-governmental organizations and make them publicly available; ☐ After the deadline for receipt of comments, the DOE shall make a determination as to whether, on the basis of the information provided and taking into account the comments received, the project activity should be validated; ☐ The DOE shall inform project participants of its determination on the validation of the project activity. Notification to the project participants will include confirmation of validation and the date of submission of the validation report to the Executive Board; ☐ The DOE shall submit to the Executive Board, if it determines the proposed project activity to be valid, a request for registration in the form of a validation report including the project design document, the written approval of the host Party and an explanation of how it has taken due account of comments received. ☐ There are only minor issues which should be addressed by the DOE / project participants prior to the registration of the project.	
Section below to be filled in by UNFCCC secretariat	
Date received at UNFCCC secretariat	26/10/2006

Reasons for Request:

(a) The application of the baseline methodology does not comply with the CDM EB approved methodology, ACM0002. The estimation of the build margin (BM) emission factor is not based on the guidelines as stipulated in ACM0002. Instead BM has been reported to be estimated based on the "substitute method as accepted by the EB". However no reference has been provided in the PDD to support this substitute method. In this regard, the validation report also refers to the CDM EB guidance on the applicability of AM0005 and AMS I.D in China but no reference has been provided relating the same to ACM0002.

(b) Further, the BM has used single Carbon Emission Factor (CEF), applicable for coal (25.8 tC/TJ) which is the highest of other fuels' CEFs, comprising the grid, the lowest being 13 tC/TJ. This leads to overestimation of the BM based baseline emissions. Hence it is suggested that BM should be re-estimated by either using the respective CEF based on the fuel type or using a weighted average CEF.

(c) The project considers Northeast Power Grid as the project boundary to which it is connected for transmission of power without significant transmission constraints. Hence the baseline emissions from the several local grids (namely Heilongjiang power grid, Jilin power grid, Liaoning power grid and eastern Inner Mongolia power grid) connected to Northeast Power Grid has been estimated. However due to non-availability of power generation data from the EIMPG province, the same has been excluded for estimation of the baseline emissions. The PDD justifies that exclusion of the EIMPG province is insignificant with no impact on the conservativeness of the baseline emissions estimated. This approach is not in line with the applicability as stipulated in ACM0002 which states that *"the geographic and system boundaries for the relevant electricity grid can be clearly identified and information on the characteristics of the grid is available"*. The project proponent has to ascertain and report the level of uncertainty of GHG emissions based on the above issue besides conforming the acceptability of the above deviation by METH Panel / CDM EB through DOE.