CDM project activity issuance review form
(By submitting this form, a Party involved (through the designated national authority) or an Executive Board member may request that a review is undertaken)

Designated national authority/Executive Board member submitting this form (Name in print)	
Title of the proposed CDM project activity for which issuance is requested	Destruction of HFC-23 at refrigerant (HCFC-22) manufacturing facility of Chemplast Sanmar Ltd; Project Activity 0499
DOE that requested for issuance and date of request	SGS 28-09-07

Please indicate, in accordance with paragraphs 65 of the CDM modalities and procedures, for which reason(s) you request review. (Place a cross (X) in front of the reason)

___Fraud ___ Malfeasance __x_ Incompetence

Please indicate reasons for the request for review and attach any supporting documentation to this request form. (if space is not sufficient please attach further reasons)

1. The project participant reported 20.002 MT of HFC23 generated during this monitoring period, however the Appendix of the report states 20.402 MT. The verification report does not state how this value has been verified.
2. The verification report contain errors attributing the "purity" of HFC23 in waste stream supplied to the destruction process as "quantity" of HFC23 in waste stream supplied to the destruction process.
3. The methodology provides that the calibration for these instruments shall be done weekly and this requirement is also taken up in the validated PDD. However, in the monitoring report the PP indicates that a zero check is done every week but calibration is only done every 6 months. The PP and the DOE are requested to clarify this inconsistency.
4. The DOE shall further clarify how they have assessed and verified the material balance and baseline check as they state that it is "the proponent that clarified that for baseline check, as per AM0001 (V3) the pure HFC23 (Q_HFC23) supplied to incinerator shall be within the capped quantity (w X Q_HCFC22) on an annual basis" (page 10 of 17 of the Verification and Certification Report).
5. The destruction of the HFC23 does not immediately follow its production as a buffer storage of about 6 months production is available. Therefore for a given period there are two HFC23 mass amounts: the amount produced and the amount destroyed. For the current crediting period the two amounts are significantly different (factor of 3). The DOE shall further clarify how they have assessed and verified this difference and its impact on emission reductions calculations.

Section below to be filled in by UNFCCC secretariat	
Date received at UNFCCC secretariat	19/10/2007