

## CDM project activity registration review form (F-CDM-RR) (By submitting this form, a Party involved (through the designated national authority) or an Executive Board member may request that a review is undertaken)

additionty) of an Executive Board member may request that a review is undertaken)	
Designated national authority/Executive Board member submitting this form	
Title of the proposed CDM project activity submitted for registration	Destruction of HFC-23 at Refrigerant (HCFC-22) Manufacturing Facility of Chemplast Ltd. (0499)
Please indicate, in accordance with paragraphs 37 and 40 of the CDM modalities and procedures, which validation requirement(s) may require review. A list of requirements is provided below. Please provide reasons in support of the request for review, including any supporting documentation.	
$\square$ The following are requirements derived from paragraph 37 c	of the CDM modalities and procedures:
☐ The participation requirements as set out in paragraphs	s 28 to 30 of the CDM modalities and procedures are satisfied;
☐ Comments by local stakeholders have been invited, a seeport to the designated operational entity (DOE) on how designated operational entity (DOE) on ho	summary of the comments received has been provided, and a due account was taken of any comments has been received;
☐ Project participants have submitted to the DOE documentation on the analysis of the environmental impacts of the project activity, including transboundary impacts and, if those impacts are considered significant by the project participants or the host Party, have undertaken an environmental impact assessment in accordance with procedures as required by the host Party;	
The project activity is expected to result in a reduction in anthropogenic emissions by sources of greenhouse gases that are additional to any that would occur in the absence of the proposed project activity, in accordance with paragraphs 43 to 52 of the CDM modalities and procedures;	
The baseline and monitoring methodologies comply wit the Executive Board;	th requirements pertaining to methodologies previously approved by
☐ Provisions for monitoring, verification and reporting are in accordance with decision 17/CP.7, the CDM modalities and procedures and relevant decisions of the COP/MOP;	
☐ The project activity conforms to all other requirements for CDM project activities in decision 17/CP.7, the CDM modalities and procedures and relevant decisions by the COP/MOP and the Executive Board.	
$\square$ The following are requirements derived from paragraph 40 of the CDM modalities and procedures:	
☐ The DOE shall, prior to the submission of the validation report to the Executive Board, have received from the project participants written approval of voluntary participation from the designated national authority of each Party involved, including confirmation by the host Party that the project activity assists it in achieving sustainable development;	
☐ In accordance with provisions on confidentiality contain DOE shall make publicly available the project design docur	ned in paragraph 27 (h) of the CDM modalities and procedures, the ment;
☐ The DOE shall receive, within 30 days, comments on the UNFCCC accredited non-governmental organizations and	ne validation requirements from Parties, stakeholders and make them publicly available;
☐ After the deadline for receipt of comments, the DOE shinformation provided and taking into account the comments	all make a determination as to whether, on the basis of the s received, the project activity should be validated;
☐ The DOE shall inform project participants of its determing project participants will include confirmation of validation are Board;	nation on the validation of the project activity. Notification to the nd the date of submission of the validation report to the Executive
☐ The DOE shall submit to the Executive Board, if it deter registration in the form of a validation report including the p an explanation of how it has taken due account of commen	mines the proposed project activity to be valid, a request for project design document, the written approval of the host Party and hits received.
☐ There are only minor issues which should be addressed by the	he DOE / project participants prior to the registration of the project.
Section below to be filled in by UNFCCC secretariat	Carlo Con Carlo Ca
Date received at UNFCCC secretariat	27/09/2006

## Reasons for Request:

A public comment raises serious questions regarding both the eligibility and the additionality of the project activity: the plant stopped its CFC production a couple of years ago and now intends to start HCFC22 production. Therefore it is not obvious that this plant should be considered as an existing facility in accordance of AM0001. Moreover there is the possibility of the baseline scenario being the discontinuation of production of HCFC22 (and thus the emissions of HFC23).