# **MONITORING REPORT**

Advanced swine manure treatment in Maitenlahue and La Manga Reference no. UNFCCC 0458

Crediting Period to be verified: 1<sup>st</sup> February 2005 – 31<sup>st</sup> October 2006

#### AGRICOLA SUPER LIMITADA Camino La Estrella No 401 Rancagua, Chile

**Tel:** 56-72-201 111 Fax: 56-72-201 124

Contact Person:

Carlos Andrés Vives Corporate Environmental Manager <u>cavives@agrosuper.com</u> <u>www.agrosuper.com</u>

## **INTRODUCTION**

In December 2000, Agricola Super Limitada (Agrosuper), the largest pork production company in Chile, initiated a voluntary process to implement advanced waste management systems (anaerobic and aerobic digestion of hog manure), in order to reduce greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions into the atmosphere.

The project consists of an advanced improvement to the common practice of swine waste treatment in the country, reducing an important volume of greenhouse gases. The technology implementation is based on the use of an anaerobic digester and an activated sludge treatment.

The anaerobic and aerobic digestion technology is being phased in gradually in some of Agrosuper's facilities. The goal is to eventually implement this technology to capture or avoid GHG emissions from all of the company's swine barns. However, this will depend upon the generation of revenues from the sale of Certified Emission Reductions (CERs), which will be used to partially finance the waste treatment systems.

The decision to consider the implementation of more expensive technology was influenced by the adoption of the Kyoto Protocol and the Clean Development Mechanism. The investment decision was further influenced by the confirmation as part of the Marrakech Agreement "...that a project activity starting as of the year 2000, and prior to the adoption of this decision, shall be eligible for validation and registration as a CDM project activity if submitted for registration before 31 December 2005. If registered, the crediting period for such project activities may start prior to the date of its registration but not earlier than 1 January 2000".

The expected result from this project activity will be a significant reduction in the volume of methane (CH<sub>4</sub>) and nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O) emissions compared to those emissions that would otherwise occur in a scenario with traditional swine manure treatment systems.

According to the approved methodology (AM0006), and based on a cost analysis, the baseline treatment system is represented by the use of open stabilization lagoons (from now anaerobic lagoon) as the treatment process of liquid waste from swine production. Anaerobic lagoons lead to the direct release of  $CH_4$ ,  $N_2O$  and  $CO_2$  into the atmosphere as result of the anaerobic digestion process that takes place inside the lagoons. Anaerobic lagoon treatment process should be considered as the current national baseline for the agricultural sector, as will be detailed later in this document.

# **STATUS OF THE PROJECT**

The following Table shows the dates in which the project started its operation

| Table 1                                    |              |         |                                |  |  |
|--|--------------|---------|--------------------------------|--|--|
| Project Treatment Irrigation Starting date |              |         |                                |  |  |
|  | system type  | project | treatment system               |  |  |
| Maitenlahue                                | Activated    | Yes     | 26 <sup>th</sup> of March 2004 |  |  |
|  | Sludge Plant |         |                                |  |  |
| La Manga                                   | Activated    | Yes     | 26 <sup>th</sup> of March 2004 |  |  |
|  | Sludge Plant |         |                                |  |  |

# STATEMENT TO WHAT EXTEND THE PROJECT HAS BEEN IMPLEMENTED AS PLANNED

The project has been completed as planned and described in the Project Design Document (PDD).

The project has been continuously operating since the entering into operation.

Apart from brief stops of the Plant for maintenance purposes, the project has been operating according to schedule and with the parameters mentioned in the PDD.

## PARAMETERS MONITORED ACCORDING TO MONITORING PLAN

In order to implement a precise and representative monitoring plan, Agrosuper has established a continual registration of each monitoring parameter as part of its Environmental Management System and its Quality Management System.

The following description details the operational and management structure developed for monitoring the emission reductions during the verification process:

| DATA VARIABLE   | DATA<br>UNIT        | DATA ORIGIN  |  |
|---|---------------------|--|--|
| Animal Population   | Heads               | Daily animal Stock and inlet program of pigs (Net inlet considering mortality). Information managed by Agrosuper |  |
| Average Weight of<br>Animals  | kg                  | Pavilion test and growing tendency curves. Information managed by Agrosuper                                      |  |
| Manure Flow After<br>Aerobic Treatment<br>Stage                         | m <sup>3</sup> /day | This parameter is calculated with total inlet flow minus sludge volume.  |  |
| Manure Flow Before<br>Aerobic Treatment                                 | m <sup>3</sup> /day | This parameter is monitored from a flow meter installed before the activated sludge.                             |  |
| Flow of Sludge from<br>Aerobic Treatment                                | m <sup>3</sup> /day | Referential volume from sludge transportation requirements.<br>Information managed by POCH Ambiental S.A.        |  |
| 5 days BOD in<br>Manure after Aerobic<br>Treatment Stage                | mg/L                | Activated Sludge monitoring registers, managed by POCH<br>Ambiental S.A.   |  |
| Total Nitrogen<br>Content in Manure<br>after Aerobic<br>Treatment Stage | mg/L                | Activated Sludge monitoring registers, managed by POCH<br>Ambiental S.A.   |  |
| Temperature of<br>Manure after Aerobic<br>Treatment Stage               | °C                  | Activated Sludge monitoring registers, managed by POCH<br>Ambiental S.A.   |  |

#### Table 2. Monitored information based on the monitoring plan

The monthly average value of the 5 days BOD and the temperature of the manure are presented in the following table:

| Month          | BOD <sub>5</sub> (mg/l) | Temperature<br>(°C) |  |  |
|----------------|-------------------------|---------------------|--|--|
| May 2005       | 61                      | 13.7                |  |  |
| June 2005      | 104                     | 13.6                |  |  |
| July 2005      | 88                      | 13.2                |  |  |
| August 2005    | 156                     | 14.7                |  |  |
| September 2005 | 238                     | 15.6                |  |  |
| October 2005   | 359                     | 16.8                |  |  |
| Noevember 2005 | 289                     | 18.0                |  |  |
| December 2005  | 137                     | 19.4                |  |  |
| January 2006   | 189                     | 22.3                |  |  |
| February 2006  | 143                     | 20.4                |  |  |
| March 2006     | 468                     | 20.3                |  |  |
| April 2006     | 303                     | 17.9                |  |  |
| May 2006       | 296                     | 16.4                |  |  |
| June 2006      | 334                     | 15.9                |  |  |
| July 2006      | 407                     | 17.0                |  |  |
| August 2006    | 377                     | 16.9                |  |  |
| September 2006 | 379                     | 17.9                |  |  |
| October 2006   | 336                     | 19.3                |  |  |

# Table 3. Monthly average monitored 5 days BOD and temperature for Maitenlahue

Table 4. Monthly average monitored 5 days BOD and temperature for La Manga

| Month          | BOD <sub>5</sub> (mg/l) | Temperature<br>(°C) |
|----------------|-------------------------|---------------------|
| September 2005 | 465                     | 14.2                |
| October 2005   | 343                     | 14.8                |
| Noevember 2005 | 400                     | 17.7                |
| December 2005  | 396                     | 18.7                |
| January 2006   | 232                     | 23.1                |
| February 2006  | 162                     | 20.0                |
| March 2006     | 257                     | 20.3                |
| April 2006     | 323                     | 19.0                |
| May 2006       | 544                     | 17.0                |
| June 2006      | 2,077                   | 15.5                |
| July 2006      | 831                     | 15.0                |
| August 2006    | 1,069                   | 14.7                |
| September 2006 | 1,159                   | 15.2                |
| October 2006   | 808                     | 16.1                |

#### LEAKAGES FROM SLUDGE MANAGED ANEROBICALLY

The sludge from La Manga aerobic treatment was disposed anaerobically between 26/08/06 and 31/10/06. The sludge from Maitenlahue aerobic treatment was disposed anaerobically between 25/08/06 and 31/10/06. According to AM0006, methane leakage emissions due to anaerobic management are calculated based on the biochemical oxygen demand (BOD<sub>5</sub>) of the sludge. However, the measurement of BOD<sub>5</sub> of a dehydrated sludge in the laboratory has a high level of uncertainty and local laboratories cannot present certified analysis. For this reason, the BOD<sub>5</sub> of the sludge is calculated by means of a mass balance taking into account the monitored BOD<sub>5</sub> of the influent and the monitored BOD<sub>5</sub> of the effluent of the system. The following equation represents the mass balance use to calculate the BOD<sub>5</sub> of the sludge.

Influent flow \*  $DBO_5$  influent = Sludge flow \*  $DBO_5$  sludge + Effluent flow \*  $DBO_5$  effluent Therefore, the BOD<sub>5</sub> of the sludge can be calculated as follows.

 $DBO_{5 \ sludge} = \frac{Influent \ flow * DBO_{5 \ influent} - Effluent \ flow * DBO_{5 \ effluent}}{Sludge \ flow}$ 

#### LEAKAGES FROM ENERGY CONSUMPTION

Leakages from energy consumption are calculated multiplying the energy consumption (kWh/month) by the Emission Factor (0.469 tCO2eq/MWh) for SIC ("Sistema Interconectado Central") grid, obtained from the PDD" Nueva Aldea Biomass Power Plant Phase 2 (Nueva Aldea Power Plant Phase 2)" registered on June 2th, 2006 (methodology ACM0006).

Calculations for activated sludge leakage energy consumption are calculated using energy consumption monitored monthly by Aguas y Riles.

# MONITORING PERIOD AND EMISSION REDUCTIONS

The monitoring period goes from Maitenlahue: 01/02/05 - 31/10/06The monitoring period goes from La Manga: 01/02/05 - 31/10/06

The following table shows emissions for baseline scenario of Maitenlahue and La Manga:

| Summary of baseline emissions Maitenlahue<br>For period comprehended between 2005 - 2006 |        |        |  |  |
|--|--------|--------|--|--|
| Ton CO2eq  | 2005   | 2006   |  |  |
| JANUARY  |        | 7,269  |  |  |
| FEBRUARY   |        | 6,443  |  |  |
| MARCH  |        | 7,903  |  |  |
| APRIL  |        | 8,828  |  |  |
| MAY  | 6,271  | 8,833  |  |  |
| JUNE   | 5,724  | 9,385  |  |  |
| JULY   | 6,798  | 8,482  |  |  |
| AUGUST   | 6,879  | 8,754  |  |  |
| SEPTEMBER  | 5,715  | 8,064  |  |  |
| OCTOBER  | 6,805  | 9,672  |  |  |
| NOVEMBER   | 5,979  |        |  |  |
| DECEMBER   | 6,445  |        |  |  |
| TOTAL  | 50,616 | 83,633 |  |  |

#### Table 5. Baseline Emissions in Maitenlahue

## Table 6. Baseline Emissions in La Manga

| Summary of baseline emissions La Manga<br>For period comprehended between 2005 - 2006 |       |        |  |  |  |
|---|-------|--------|--|--|--|
| Ton CO2eq 2005 2006   |       |        |  |  |  |
| JANUARY   |       | 7,169  |  |  |  |
| FEBRUARY  |       | 6,235  |  |  |  |
| MARCH   |       | 7,594  |  |  |  |
| APRIL   |       | 7,284  |  |  |  |
| MAY   |       | 7,711  |  |  |  |
| JUNE  |       | 10,357 |  |  |  |
| JULY  |       | 7,407  |  |  |  |
| AUGUST  |       | 9,082  |  |  |  |
| SEPTEMBER   | 6,942 | 10,225 |  |  |  |
| OCTOBER   | 7,523 | 9,784  |  |  |  |
| NOVEMBER  | 7,104 |        |  |  |  |
| DECEMBER  | 5,358 |        |  |  |  |
| TOTAL 26,927 82,848   |       |        |  |  |  |

The following tables show emissions for project scenario for Maitenlahue and La Manga.

| Summary of project emissions Maitenlahue<br>For period comprehended between 2005 - 2006 |      |      |  |  |
|---|------|------|--|--|
| Ton CO2eq   | 2005 | 2006 |  |  |
| JANUARY   |      | 19   |  |  |
| FEBRUARY  |      | 15   |  |  |
| MARCH   |      | 50   |  |  |
| APRIL   |      | 49   |  |  |
| MAY   | 12   | 37   |  |  |
| JUNE  | 14   | 54   |  |  |
| JULY  | 16   | 50   |  |  |
| AUGUST  | 27   | 56   |  |  |
| SEPTEMBER   | 26   | 44   |  |  |
| OCTOBER   | 38   | 43   |  |  |
| NOVEMBER  | 29   |      |  |  |
| DECEMBER  | 17   |      |  |  |
| TOTAL   | 179  | 417  |  |  |

# Table 7. Project Emissions in Maitenlahue

# Table 8. Project Emissions in La Manga

| Summary of project emissions La Manga<br>For period comprehended between 2005 - 2006 |      |      |  |  |
|--|------|------|--|--|
| Ton CO2eq  | 2005 | 2006 |  |  |
| JANUARY  |      | 19   |  |  |
| FEBRUARY   |      | 14   |  |  |
| MARCH  |      | 27   |  |  |
| APRIL  |      | 35   |  |  |
| MAY  |      | 66   |  |  |
| JUNE   |      | 170  |  |  |
| JULY   |      | 47   |  |  |
| AUGUST   |      | 111  |  |  |
| SEPTEMBER  | 56   | 89   |  |  |
| OCTOBER  | 51   | 74   |  |  |
| NOVEMBER   | 43   |      |  |  |
| DECEMBER   | 30   |      |  |  |
| TOTAL  | 180  | 652  |  |  |

The following tables show emissions from electricity consumption for Maitenlahue and La Manga.

| Leakage Electricity tCO2eq/mes Maitenlahue<br>For period comprehended between 2005 - 2006 |      |       |  |  |
|---|------|-------|--|--|
| Ton CO2 eq  | 2005 | 2006  |  |  |
| JANUARY   |      | 120   |  |  |
| FEBRUARY  |      | 112   |  |  |
| MARCH   |      | 132   |  |  |
| APRIL   |      | 145   |  |  |
| MAY   | 95   | 130   |  |  |
| JUNE  | 87   | 117   |  |  |
| JULY  | 107  | 133   |  |  |
| AUGUST  | 123  | 144   |  |  |
| SEPTEMBER   | 105  | 114   |  |  |
| OCTOBER   | 101  | 113   |  |  |
| NOVEMBER  | 108  |       |  |  |
| DECEMBER  | 120  |       |  |  |
| TOTAL   | 846  | 1,260 |  |  |

 Table 9. Leakage estimation electricity Maitenlahue Activated Sludge

Table 10. Leakage estimation electricity La Manga Activated Sludge

| Leakage Electricity tCO2eq/mes La Manga<br>For period comprehended between 2005 - 2006 |     |     |  |  |  |
|--|-----|-----|--|--|--|
| Ton CO2 eq 2005 2006   |     |     |  |  |  |
| JANUARY  |     | 99  |  |  |  |
| FEBRUARY   |     | 149 |  |  |  |
| MARCH  |     | 163 |  |  |  |
| APRIL  |     | 137 |  |  |  |
| MAY  |     | 89  |  |  |  |
| JUNE   |     | 66  |  |  |  |
| JULY   |     | 84  |  |  |  |
| AUGUST   |     | 94  |  |  |  |
| SEPTEMBER  | 124 | 120 |  |  |  |
| OCTOBER  | 123 | 118 |  |  |  |
| NOVEMBER   | 125 |     |  |  |  |
| DECEMBER   | 110 |     |  |  |  |
| TOTAL 482 1,119  |     |     |  |  |  |

The following tables show emissions from anaerobic management of sludge for Maitenlahue and La Manga.

| Leakage estimat | ion due to Sludge Manag<br>Maitenlahue | ged Anaerobically in |
|-----------------|--|----------------------|
| Ton CO2eq       | 2005                                   | 2006                 |
| JANUARY         |  | 0                    |
| FEBRUARY        |  | 0                    |
| MARCH           |  | 0                    |
| APRIL           |  | 0                    |
| MAY             | 0                                      | 0                    |
| JUNE            | 0                                      | 0                    |
| JULY            | 0                                      | 0                    |
| AUGUST          | 0                                      | 264                  |
| SEPTEMBER       | 0                                      | 1,959                |
| OCTOBER         | 0                                      | 2,111                |
| NOVEMBER        | 0                                      |                      |
| DECEMBER        | 0                                      |                      |
| TOTAL           | 0                                      | 4,334                |

 Table 11. Leakage estimation due to Sludge Managed Anaerobically in

 Maitenlahue

| Table 12. | Leakage | estimation | due to | Sludge | Managed | Anaerobi | cally ir | n La | Manga |
|-----------|---------|------------|--------|--------|---------|----------|----------|------|-------|
|           |         |            |        |        |         |          |          |      |       |

| Leakage estimation due to Sludge Managed Anaerobically in La<br>Manga |      |       |  |  |  |
|---|------|-------|--|--|--|
| Ton CO2eq   | 2005 | 2006  |  |  |  |
| JANUARY   |      | 0     |  |  |  |
| FEBRUARY  |      | 0     |  |  |  |
| MARCH   |      | 0     |  |  |  |
| APRIL   |      | 0     |  |  |  |
| MAY   |      | 0     |  |  |  |
| JUNE  |      | 0     |  |  |  |
| JULY  |      | 0     |  |  |  |
| AUGUST  |      | 586   |  |  |  |
| SEPTEMBER   | 0    | 2,503 |  |  |  |
| OCTOBER   | 0    | 2,818 |  |  |  |
| NOVEMBER  | 0    |       |  |  |  |
| DECEMBER  | 0    |       |  |  |  |
| TOTAL   | 0    | 5,907 |  |  |  |

The following tables show the emission reductions during that period

| Summary of emission reductions in Maitenlahue considering<br>leakage electricity |        |        |  |  |  |
|--|--------|--------|--|--|--|
| Ton CO2eq  | 2005   | 2006   |  |  |  |
| JANUARY  |        | 7,130  |  |  |  |
| FEBRUARY   |        | 6,316  |  |  |  |
| MARCH  |        | 7,721  |  |  |  |
| APRIL  |        | 8,634  |  |  |  |
| MAY  | 6,164  | 8,666  |  |  |  |
| JUNE   | 5,623  | 9,214  |  |  |  |
| JULY   | 6,675  | 8,299  |  |  |  |
| AUGUST   | 6,729  | 8,290  |  |  |  |
| SEPTEMBER  | 5,584  | 5,947  |  |  |  |
| OCTOBER  | 6,666  | 7,405  |  |  |  |
| NOVEMBER   | 5,842  |        |  |  |  |
| DECEMBER   | 6,308  |        |  |  |  |
| TOTAL  | 49,591 | 77,622 |  |  |  |

# Table 13. Emission Reductions in Maitenlahue

٦

٦

# Table 14. Emission Reductions in La Manga

Г

| Summary of emission | reductions in La Man<br>electricity | ga considering leakage |
|---------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------|
| Ton CO2eq           | 2005                                | 2006                   |
| JANUARY             |                                     | 7,051                  |
| FEBRUARY            |                                     | 6,072                  |
| MARCH               |                                     | 7,404                  |
| APRIL               |                                     | 7,112                  |
| MAY                 |                                     | 7,556                  |
| JUNE                |                                     | 10,121                 |
| JULY                |                                     | 7,276                  |
| AUGUST              |                                     | 8,291                  |
| SEPTEMBER           | 6,762                               | 7,513                  |
| OCTOBER             | 7,349                               | 6,774                  |
| NOVEMBER            | 6,936                               |                        |
| DECEMBER            | 5,218                               |                        |
| TOTAL               | 26,265                              | 75,170                 |

| Ton CO2eq   | 1 <sup>st</sup> Feb 2005 –<br>31 <sup>st</sup> December<br>2005 | 1 <sup>st</sup> January<br>2006 – 31 <sup>st</sup><br>October 2006 | Total   |
|-------------|---|--|---------|
| Maitenlahue | 49,591  | 77,622   | 127,213 |
| La Manga    | 26,265  | 75,170   | 101,435 |
| Total       | 75,856  | 152,792  | 228,648 |

Table 15: Summary of all Emission reductions claimed for the period

On behalf of Agrícola Super Ltda.

Carlos Andrés Vives Corporate Environmental Manager