

 <b>CDM project activity issuance review form</b> <i>(By submitting this form, a Party involved (through the designated national authority) or an Executive Board member may request that a review is undertaken)</i>	
<b>Designated national authority/Executive Board member submitting this form (Name in print)</b>	
<b>Title of the proposed CDM project activity for which issuance is requested</b>	0425 Aguascalientes – EcoMethane Landfill Gas to Energy Project
<b>DOE that requested for issuance and date of request</b>	TUEV-SUED 19.11.2007
<b>Please indicate, in accordance with paragraphs 65 of the CDM modalities and procedures, for which reason(s) you request review. (Place a cross (X) in front of the reason)</b>	
<input type="checkbox"/> <i>Fraud</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Malfeasance</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Incompetence</i>	
<b>Please indicate reasons for the request for review and attach any supporting documentation to this request form. (if space is not sufficient please attach further reasons)</b>	
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The total amount of electricity imported in October 2006 was calculated from the monthly invoice. However the methodology requires this parameter to be continuously measured. Further clarification is required on how the DOE verified the total amount of the electricity import.</li> <li>2. No methane was measured in the exhaust gas for calculating the flare efficiency while the monitoring plan requires the methane content of the flare emissions to be analysed at least quarterly. Further clarification is required on how the DOE verified the flare efficiency.</li> <li>3. The required values for calculating the quantity of methane destroyed by flaring (Mdflied) presented in the spreadsheet can not reproduce the same result (5,138 tCH<sub>4</sub> ) stated in the monitoring report. Clarification is required.</li> </ol>	
<b>Section below to be filled in by UNFCCC secretariat</b>	
Date received at UNFCCC secretariat	10/12/2007