

CDM project activity registration review form (F-CDM-RR) (By submitting this form, a Party involved (through the designated national authority) or an Executive Board member may request that a review is undertaken)

Designated national authority/Executive Board member submitting this form	
Title of the proposed CDM project activity submitted for registration	Inácio Martins Biomass Project (0403)
Please indicate, in accordance with paragraphs 37 and 40 of the CDM modalities and procedures, which validation requirement(s) may require review. A list of requirements is provided below. Please provide reasons in support of the request for review, including any supporting documentation.	
☐ The following are requirements derived from paragraph 37 o	of the CDM modalities and procedures:
☐ The participation requirements as set out in paragraphs 28 to 30 of the CDM modalities and procedures are satisfied;	
☐ Comments by local stakeholders have been invited, a summary of the comments received has been provided, and a report to the designated operational entity (DOE) on how due account was taken of any comments has been received;	
activity, including transboundary impacts and, if those imp host Party, have undertaken an environmental impact asso Party;	nentation on the analysis of the environmental impacts of the project pacts are considered significant by the project participants or the desament in accordance with procedures as required by the host
The project activity is expected to result in a reduction are additional to any that would occur in the absence of the of the CDM modalities and procedures;	in anthropogenic emissions by sources of greenhouse gases that be proposed project activity, in accordance with paragraphs 43 to 52
The baseline and monitoring methodologies comply w by the Executive Board;	vith requirements pertaining to methodologies previously approved
☐ Provisions for monitoring, verification and reporting are in accordance with decision 17/CP.7, the CDM modalities and procedures and relevant decisions of the COP/MOP;	
The project activity conforms to all other requirements for CDM project activities in decision 17/CP.7, the CDM modalities and procedures and relevant decisions by the COP/MOP and the Executive Board.	
☐ The following are requirements derived from paragraph 40 of the CDM modalities and procedures:	
☐ The DOE shall, prior to the submission of the validation report to the Executive Board, have received from the project participants written approval of voluntary participation from the designated national authority of each Party involved, including confirmation by the host Party that the project activity assists it in achieving sustainable development;	
☐ In accordance with provisions on confidentiality contained in paragraph 27 (h) of the CDM modalities and procedures, the DOE shall make publicly available the project design document;	
☐ The DOE shall receive, within 30 days, comments on the validation requirements from Parties, stakeholders and UNFCCC accredited non-governmental organizations and make them publicly available;	
☐ After the deadline for receipt of comments, the DOE shall make a determination as to whether, on the basis of the information provided and taking into account the comments received, the project activity should be validated;	
☐ The DOE shall inform project participants of its determination on the validation of the project activity. Notification to the project participants will include confirmation of validation and the date of submission of the validation report to the Executive Board;	
☐ The DOE shall submit to the Executive Board, if it deter registration in the form of a validation report including the pan explanation of how it has taken due account of commer	rmines the proposed project activity to be valid, a request for project design document, the written approval of the host Party and nts received.
There are only minor issues which should be addressed by the DOE / project participants prior to the registration of the project.	
Section below to be filled in by UNFCCC secretariat	
Date received at LINECCC secretariat	12/06/06

Minor Reasons for Requesting a Review:

Some validation requirements have not been appropriately dealt with by the Designated Operational Entity. The explanation for the same is provided below :

1. As regards Stakeholder comments, the PDD mentions (*ref* Page 31 Section G1) that Ecosecurities and project developer addressed questions raised by stakeholders. But section G.2 mentions that no comments were received which is validated by DOE as well. This issue needs clarification.

- 2. The PDD and the DOE refers the project as Co-generation. However the project does not use steam for any process and uses only for power generation. This needs clarification.
- 3. DOE states (ref Section 2, page 2) in the request for registration form that the revised DNA approval from Brazil Government is yet to be obtained.

The project has received the Host Country Approval from the Brazil Government based on an older PDD version which has been updated after validation. As per the DNA's rules and regulations, any revisions in the PDD should be approved by them before registration.

Thus the DNA approval for the latest version of the PDD is pending.

The DOE has explained the reasons for uploading pending Brazil DNA's revised Letter of Approval (LoA) as follows: "since the project applies Version 07 of AMS I.D and AMS III.E and hence needs to be submitted for registration within eight weeks after these methodologies were revised on 3rd March 2006, DNV decided to upload the request for registration and submit the complimentary revised LoA as soon as it is received from the DNA of Brazil."

Hence CDM EB may not be in a position to register until it receives from the DOE, the revised new DNA approval from Brazil Government. However if CDM EB finds the Brazil DNA's approval of the older appropriate, the project could be registered as a CDM activity.