



CDM project activity registration review form (F-CDM-RR)
(By submitting this form, a Party involved (through the designated national authority) or an Executive Board member may request that a review is undertaken)

Designated national authority/Executive Board member submitting this form	
Title of the proposed CDM project activity submitted for registration	Inácio Martins Biomass Project (0403)

Please indicate, in accordance with paragraphs 37 and 40 of the CDM modalities and procedures, which validation requirement(s) may require review. A list of requirements is provided below. Please provide reasons in support of the request for review, including any supporting documentation.

- ☐ *The following are requirements derived from paragraph 37 of the CDM modalities and procedures:*
- ☐ The participation requirements as set out in paragraphs 28 to 30 of the CDM modalities and procedures are satisfied;
 - ☐ Comments by local stakeholders have been invited, a summary of the comments received has been provided, and a report to the designated operational entity (DOE) on how due account was taken of any comments has been received;
 - ☐ Project participants have submitted to the DOE documentation on the analysis of the environmental impacts of the project activity, including transboundary impacts and, if those impacts are considered significant by the project participants or the host Party, have undertaken an environmental impact assessment in accordance with procedures as required by the host Party;
 - ☒ The project activity is expected to result in a reduction in anthropogenic emissions by sources of greenhouse gases that are additional to any that would occur in the absence of the proposed project activity, in accordance with paragraphs 43 to 52 of the CDM modalities and procedures;
 - ☒ The baseline and monitoring methodologies comply with requirements pertaining to methodologies previously approved by the Executive Board;
 - ☐ Provisions for monitoring, verification and reporting are in accordance with decision 17/CP.7, the CDM modalities and procedures and relevant decisions of the COP/MOP;
 - ☐ The project activity conforms to all other requirements for CDM project activities in decision 17/CP.7, the CDM modalities and procedures and relevant decisions by the COP/MOP and the Executive Board.
- ☐ *The following are requirements derived from paragraph 40 of the CDM modalities and procedures:*
- ☐ The DOE shall, prior to the submission of the validation report to the Executive Board, have received from the project participants written approval of voluntary participation from the designated national authority of each Party involved, including confirmation by the host Party that the project activity assists it in achieving sustainable development;
 - ☐ In accordance with provisions on confidentiality contained in paragraph 27 (h) of the CDM modalities and procedures, the DOE shall make publicly available the project design document;
 - ☐ The DOE shall receive, within 30 days, comments on the validation requirements from Parties, stakeholders and UNFCCC accredited non-governmental organizations and make them publicly available;
 - ☐ After the deadline for receipt of comments, the DOE shall make a determination as to whether, on the basis of the information provided and taking into account the comments received, the project activity should be validated;
 - ☐ The DOE shall inform project participants of its determination on the validation of the project activity. Notification to the project participants will include confirmation of validation and the date of submission of the validation report to the Executive Board;
 - ☐ The DOE shall submit to the Executive Board, if it determines the proposed project activity to be valid, a request for registration in the form of a validation report including the project design document, the written approval of the host Party and an explanation of how it has taken due account of comments received.
- ☐ There are only minor issues which should be addressed by the DOE / project participants prior to the registration of the project.

Section below to be filled in by UNFCCC secretariat

Date received at UNFCCC secretariat	15/06/2006
-------------------------------------	------------

Reasons for Request:

1. The PDD indicates that the crediting period shall start as of June 1st, 2006. Since the crediting period has to start after the registration (which would occur on June 16, at the earliest), the date has to be corrected.
2. Since the current source of power consumption by the independent energy consumer forms a strong basis for the baseline scenario and is not proven, applicability of the baseline methodology cannot be confirmed.
3. Although the DOE has mentioned in its validation report that it had reviewed and analysed the calculations for estimating at the grid emission factor, these calculations have not been provided in detail in the PDD.

4. Details of the combustion and power generation technology employed, complete technical and performance specifications of the steam boiler, steam turbine, biomass characteristics, biomass drying process, energy consumed (if any) for biomass pre-processing and drying have not been provided and the same should be included in the PDD. Also, the performance details of the power plant have not been provided including annual hours of operation. The steam boiler and steam turbine efficiency parameter and annual operating hours need to be mentioned along with the expected annual plant load factor. This will enable in confirming with the realistic generation of power and hence the emission reductions.
5. Data ID No.D 3.3 needs clarification on whether it is total biomass consumed by the project or it refers to the biomass purchased from third parties which decays in the baseline scenario. Furthermore it is suggested that the PDD clarifies whether the project will use any fossil fuels for start up during the crediting period of the CDM project. If expected to use, the monitoring parameters for fossil fuels should then be included.
6. The PDD mentions that Ecosecurities and the project developer addressed questions raised by stakeholders. But section G.2 mentions that no comments were received which is validated by the DOE as well. This issue needs clarification.
7. The Letter of Approval which was uploaded is an outdated version. The DOE states in the request for registration form that the revised DNA approval from Brazil Government is yet to be obtained.