

CDM project activity registration review form (By submitting this form, a Party involved (through the designated national authority) or an Executive Board member may request that a review is undertaken)

Designated national authority/Executive Board member submitting this form				
Title of the proposed CDM project activity submitted for registration			Inácio Martins Biomass Project (0403)	
Please indicate, in accordance with paragraphs 37 and 40 of the CDM modalities and procedures, which validation requirement(s) may require review. A list of requirements is provided below. Please provide reasons in support of the request for review, including any supporting documentation.				
0	The following are requirements derived from paragraph 37 of the CDM modalities and procedures:			
	•	The participation requirements as set out in paragraphs 28 to 30 of the CDM modalities and procedures are satisfied;		
	<b>-</b>		rited, a summary of the comments received has been provided, y (DOE) on how due account was taken of any comments has	
		Project participants have submitted to the DOE documentation on the analysis of the environmental impacts of the project activity, including transboundary impacts and, if those impacts are considered significant by the project participants or the host Party, have undertaken an environmental impact assessment in accordance with procedures as required by the host Party;		
		The project activity is expected to result in a reduction in anthropogenic emissions by sources of green gases that are additional to any that would occur in the absence of the proposed project activity, in acc with paragraphs 43 to 52 of the CDM modalities and procedures;		
		The baseline and monitoring methodologies corapproved by the Executive Board;	mply with requirements pertaining to methodologies previously	
		Provisions for monitoring, verification and repor modalities and procedures and relevant decisio	ting are in accordance with decision 17/CP.7, the CDM ons of the COP/MOP;	
		The project activity conforms to all other requirements for CDM project activities in decision 17/CP.7, the CDM modalities and procedures and relevant decisions by the COP/MOP and the Executive Board.		
۵	The	he following are requirements derived from paragraph 40 of the CDM modalities and procedures:		
	X	The DOE shall, prior to the submission of the validation report to the Executive Board, have received from the project participants written approval of voluntary participation from the designated national authority of each Party involved, including confirmation by the host Party that the project activity assists it in achieving sustainable development;		
		In accordance with provisions on confidentiality contained in paragraph 27 (h) of the CDM modalities and procedures, the DOE shall make publicly available the project design document;		
		The DOE shall receive, within 30 days, comments on the validation requirements from Parties, stakeholders and UNFCCC accredited non-governmental organizations and make them publicly available;		
			DOE shall make a determination as to whether, on the basis of nt the comments received, the project activity should be validated;	
		The DOE shall inform project participants of its determination on the validation of the project activity. Notification to the project participants will include confirmation of validation and the date of submission of the validation report to the Executive Board;		
	The DOE shall submit to the Executive Board, if it determines the proposed project activity to be valid for registration in the form of a validation report including the project design document, the written app the host Party and an explanation of how it has taken due account of comments received.		including the project design document, the written approval of	
Section below to be filled in by UNFCCC secretariat				
Date received at UNFCCC secretariat 14/06/2006				

Host country approval by the Brazilian authority is for version '4' of the project, which pre-dates the current version 5B, submitted to EB for registration. As the Host country approval clearly specifies the

PDD version, it may be advisable for the proponent to request for a revised HCA from the Brazilian DNA.

As per the project proponent "The only changes made to this version of the PDD compared to the PDD submitted to the Interministerial Comission on Global Climate Change on 24 October 2005 referred to in the letter of approval of the DNA of Brazil, are related to the recalculation of the build margin emission factor with the plant efficiencies recommended by the CDM Executive Board at its 22nd meeting and to the changes in "Project Participants" and "Annual Emission Reductions", guided by the new "GUIDELINES FOR COMPLETING CDM-SSC-PDD and F-CDM-SSC-Subm".

As per the validation report by DNV, "This validation report summarizes the findings of the validation. The only changes made to this version of the validation report compared to the validation report rev. 04 dated 19 June 2005 referred to in the letter of approval of the DNA of Brazil of 22 December 2005 are linked to the status of issuance of the letter of approval by the DNA of Brazil and the recalculation of the build margin emission factor with the plant efficiencies recommended by the CDM Executive Board at its 22 nd meeting and the data vintage for the calculation of the operating and build margin emission factors (data for the years 2002-2004 instead of data for the year 2001-2003)."

Documents registered should be the final ones with no conditionality or excuses. DOE should have not gone ahead for request for registration without getting the proper documents at the first place.