

CDM project activity issuance review form

	this form, a Party involved (through the designated national n Executive Board member may request that a review is undertaken)
Designated national authority/Executive Board member submitting this form (Name in print)	
Title of the proposed CDM project activity for which issuance is requested	4.5 MW Biomass (low density Crop Residues) based Power Generation unit of Malavalli Power Plant Pvt Ltd. (0298)
DOE that requested for issuance and date of request	Det Norske Veritas
Please indicate, in accordance with you request review. (Place a cross	paragraphs 65 of the CDM modalities and procedures, for which reason(s) (X) in front of the reason)
Fraud Malfeasance	e <u>X</u> Incompetence
Please indicate reasons for the requirem. (if space is not sufficient plea	uest for review and attach any supporting documentation to this request se attach further reasons)
There are contradictory statements by	the DOE in the Verification/Certification Report that need to be clarified.
	erification (Aug. 2006), where two FARs were raised. These FARs were responded t clear that the previous observations were totally solved.
Page 3 of the V/C Report, in Section 3	3 ("Verification Findings") states that:
i) "the verification is not ab	ole to obtain sufficient evidence for the reported emission reductions"; and
ii) "the verification has iden	tified material misstatements in the reported emission reductions"
It is not clear if these observations are	related with the FAR and how, and if observations with respect to this were lifted.
As the emission factor of the grid is calculation of emission reductions are	determined ex ante in the PDD, the monitoring parameters associated with the as follows:
	I (key variable). The DOE noticed that the electricity generated was used for ation to use electricity supplied to the grid, instead. The sales record was checked amount of electricity to the grid.
- Biomass transportation fuel consump	otion (minor variable for leakage).
The DOE verified the values of both used at all).	n variables as well as possible fossil fuel consumption (confirmed that coal was not
and the actual CER claimed in the	critical analysis of the gap between <i>ex ante</i> estimated CER detailed in the PDD, monitoring report, should be provided in the monitoring report by the project so be provided in the verification report by the verifying DOE.
Section below to be filled in by UNF	CCC socratariat
Data received at LINECCC apprehensiat	22/04/2007