CDM project activity issuance review form (By submitting this form, a Party involved (through the designated national authority) or an Executive Board member may request that a review is undertaken)		
Designated national authority/Executive Board member submitting this form (Name in print)		
Title of the proposed CDM project activity for which issuance is requested4.5 MW Biomass (low Malavalli Power Plant		w density Crop Residues) based Power Generation unit of it Pvt Ltd. (0298)
DOE that requested for issuance Det Norske Veritas and date of request Det Norske Veritas		
Please indicate, in accordance with paragraphs 65 of the CDM modalities and procedures, for which reason(s) you request review. (Place a cross (X) in front of the reason)		
Fraud Malfeasance	e <u>X</u> Incompe	etence
Please indicate reasons for the request for review and attach any supporting documentation to this request form. (if space is not sufficient please attach further reasons)		
There are contradictory statements by	the DOE in the Verifica	tion/Certification Report that need to be clarified.
This is mainly because of the initial verification (Aug. 2006), where two FARs were raised. These FARs were responded by the project participants, but it is not clear that the previous observations were totally solved.		
Page 3 of the V/C Report, in Section 3 ("Verification Findings") states that:		
i) "the verification is not able to obtain sufficient evidence for the reported emission reductions"; and		
ii) "the verification has identified material misstatements in the reported emission reductions"		
It is not clear if these observations are related with the FAR and how, and if observations with respect to this were lifted.		
As the emission factor of the grid is determined ex ante in the PDD, the monitoring parameters associated with the calculation of emission reductions are as follows:		
- Net electricity supplied to the grid (key variable). The DOE noticed that the electricity generated was used for calculation and requested for modification to use electricity supplied to the grid, instead. The sales record was checked to confirm the monitored results of the sold amount of electricity to the grid.		
- Biomass transportation fuel consumption (minor variable for leakage).		
The DOE verified the values of both variables as well as possible fossil fuel consumption (confirmed that coal was not used at all).		
The Meth Expert recommends that a critical analysis of the gap between <i>ex ante</i> estimated CER detailed in the PDD, and the actual CER claimed in the monitoring report, should be provided in the monitoring report by the project participants. Such analysis should also be provided in the verification report by the verifying DOE.		
Section below to be filled in by UNFCCC secretariat		
Date received at UNFCCC secretariat		19/01/2007
Bate received at ONE CCC Secretaliat		10/01/2001