

 <b>CDM project activity issuance review form</b> <i>(By submitting this form, a Party involved (through the designated national authority) or an Executive Board member may request that a review is undertaken)</i>	
<b>Designated national authority/Executive Board member submitting this form (Name in print)</b>	
<b>Title of the proposed CDM project activity for which issuance is requested</b>	Landfill Gas to Energy Project at Lara Landfill, Mauá, Brazil; Project Activity 0091
<b>DOE that requested for issuance and date of request</b>	SGS 30-08-07
<b>Please indicate, in accordance with paragraphs 65 of the CDM modalities and procedures, for which reason(s) you request review. (Place a cross (X) in front of the reason)</b>	
___ <i>Fraud</i> ___ <i>Malfeasance</i> ___ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Incompetence</i>	
<b>Please indicate reasons for the request for review and attach any supporting documentation to this request form. (if space is not sufficient please attach further reasons)</b>	
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The PP/Doe shall clarify which company is the other party involved in this project activity, as the UNFCCC CDM web site for the registered "Project 0091 : Landfill Gas to Energy Project at Lara Landfill, Mauá, Brazil", with registration date 15 May 2006, records that the authorized participant is BHP Billiton Marketing AG.</li> <li>2. In addition, the DOE shall clarify whether they have examined if the project documentation is in accordance with the requirements of the registered PDD, assessed it against the provisions of Decision 17/COP, and therefore are satisfied with the statement in the Monitoring Report version 2 (page 5 of 26) that the Annex 1 project participant is BHP Billiton Plc (UK). However, the approval of voluntary participation for registration refers to BHP Billiton Marketing AG, Baar, Switzerland, and, in addition, BHP Billiton Marketing AG, Switzerland has obtained a LoA from the Netherlands.</li> <li>3. Clarification is required regarding the methane content data in the spreadsheet, which appears to have been calculated. However, the methodology requires continuous measurement of this parameter. Clarification is required.</li> <li>4. Further, the methane gas analyser was shut down for some days in October, November 2006 and January - March 2007 due to air filters clogging and gas analyser failure. Further clarification is required regarding how the DOE verified the accuracy of methane content data during the shut down periods.</li> <li>5. The PP shall further clarify how they have implemented their monitoring plan related to quality assurance and quality control, as there seems to be no documented procedure for quality assurance and management of archived/monitored data. The DOE shall further clarify how they have ensured that the reasons for the corrective action requests are addressed in a systematic manner.</li> <li>6. The DOE shall further clarify how they verified the following issues:             <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. The operating/flaring systems availability</li> <li>b. The maintenance/calibration of methane flow measurement meter and provision of a back-up meter if there is a failure of main meter management of archived/monitored data</li> <li>c. The flare efficiency</li> <li>d. The fact that the Monitoring Report, instead of providing the amount of methane captured/flared, should provide exact methane gas flow meter readings.</li> </ol> </li> </ol> <p>and how they have ensured that those issues are addressed in a systematic manner instead of by mere corrections in the monitoring report.</p>	
<b>Section below to be filled in by UNFCCC secretariat</b>	
Date received at UNFCCC secretariat	18/09/2007