

CDM project activity registration review form
(By submitting this form, a Party involved (through the designated national authority) or an Executive Board member may request that a review is undertaken)

authority) or an Executive Board member may request that a review is undertaken) Designated national authority/Executive Board member submitting this form				
				Title of the proposed CDM project activity submitted for registration
val	lidati	indicate, in accordance with paragraphs 37 a ion requirement(s) may require review. A list port of the request for review, including any st	and 40 of the CDM modalities and procedures, which of requirements is provided below. Please provide reasons supporting documentation.	
0	The	The following are requirements derived from paragraph 37 of the CDM modalities and procedures:		
		The participation requirements as set out in par satisfied;	tragraphs 28 to 30 of the CDM modalities and procedures are	
		Comments by local stakeholders have been invalid and a report to the designated operational entity been received;	vited, a summary of the comments received has been provided, ty (DOE) on how due account was taken of any comments has	
		the project activity, including transboundary imp	E documentation on the analysis of the environmental impacts of pacts and, if those impacts are considered significant by the dertaken an environmental impact assessment in accordance with	
	X	X The project activity is expected to result in a reduction in anthropogenic emissions by sources of greenhouse gases that are additional to any that would occur in the absence of the proposed project activity, in accordance with paragraphs 43 to 52 of the CDM modalities and procedures;		
	X	X The baseline and monitoring methodologies of approved by the Executive Board;	comply with requirements pertaining to methodologies previously	
		Provisions for monitoring, verification and repormodalities and procedures and relevant decision	rting are in accordance with decision 17/CP.7, the CDM ons of the COP/MOP;	
		The project activity conforms to all other require modalities and procedures and relevant decision	ements for CDM project activities in decision 17/CP.7, the CDM ons by the COP/MOP and the Executive Board.	
	Thε	e following are requirements derived from paragr	raph 40 of the CDM modalities and procedures:	
		project participants written approval of voluntary	ralidation report to the Executive Board, have received from the ry participation from the designated national authority of each ost Party that the project activity assists it in achieving sustainable	
		In accordance with provisions on confidentiality procedures, the DOE shall make publicly availa	y contained in paragraph 27 (h) of the CDM modalities and able the project design document;	
		The DOE shall receive, within 30 days, commer UNFCCC accredited non-governmental organizations.	ents on the validation requirements from Parties, stakeholders and zations and make them publicly available;	
		the information provided and taking into accoun	DOE shall make a determination as to whether, on the basis of nt the comments received, the project activity should be validated;	
	0	The DOE shall inform project participants of its Notification to the project participants will includ validation report to the Executive Board;	determination on the validation of the project activity. de confirmation of validation and the date of submission of the	
		The DOE shall submit to the Executive Board, if for registration in the form of a validation report the host Party and an explanation of how it has	if it determines the proposed project activity to be valid, a request tincluding the project design document, the written approval of taken due account of comments received.	
Sec	ction	below to be filled in by UNFCCC secretariat	The second of th	
Date received at UNFCCC secretariat				

Background

The Applicability Section of Version 3 of AM0001 states that "This methodology is applicable to HFC 23 (CHF3) waste streams from an existing HCFC22 production facility with at least three (3) years of operating history between beginning of the year 2000 and the end of the year 2004...". Hence, the production facility must be an existing HCFC22 production facility, ie, a production facility which presently makes HCFC22.

Furthermore the methodology states "Q_HCFCy is limited to the maximum historical annual production level at this plant (in tonnes of HCFC22) during any of the last three (3) years between beginning of the year 2000 and the end of the year 2004, including CFC production at swing plants adjusted appropriately to account for the different production rates of HCFC22 and CFCs." Hence, the methodology envisages converting CFC production into HCFC22 equivalent, on the HCFC22 plant only, and not on any other plant (that does not manufacture HCFC22).

According to the Project Design Document of the Quimobasicos HFC Recovery and Decomposition Project, Quimobasicos has two production facilities – one that makes CFCs and one that makes HCFCs (refer page 10 of the Project Design Document and Annexure 3). Also, page 37 of the Project Design Document clearly states that there is an "existing HCFC22 facility" and a "second unit" which seems to make only CFCs.

Hence, in terms of AM0001 Version 3, only if the existing production facility of HCFC22 makes CFCs as well, the CFC is to be converted into HCFC equivalent to compute the production capacity. It does not permit a plant, which does not make any HCFC22, but only CFC, to qualify for CDM, by converting CFCs into equivalent HCFC22 for the purpose of qualifying for CDM.