

 CDM project activity issuance review form <i>(By submitting this form, a Party involved (through the designated national authority) or an Executive Board member may request that a review is undertaken)</i>	
Designated national authority/Executive Board member submitting this form (Name in print)	
Title of the proposed CDM project activity for which issuance is requested	0254 Lepanto Landfill Gas Management Project
DOE that requested for issuance and date of request	TUV –SUD, 20/03/08
Please indicate, in accordance with paragraphs 65 of the CDM modalities and procedures, for which reason(s) you request review. (Place a cross (X) in front of the reason)	
<input type="checkbox"/> <i>Fraud</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Malfeasance</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Incompetence</i>	
Please indicate reasons for the request for review and attach any supporting documentation to this request form. (if space is not sufficient please attach further reasons)	
<p>1. The spreadsheet shows that 0.8m³/min of LFG gas was supplied and no LFG was flared from 8:30 to 10:14 on 1 February 2007, while the temperature of flare shows 700.8109°C for this specific period. Further clarification is required on how the DOE verified the temperature of the flare.</p> <p>2. The spreadsheet shows that 6,978.71m³/hour of LFG gas was supplied and no LFG was flared from 3 to 5 February 2007, while about 2,000 m³/hour of LFG was supplied under normal operation during this monitoring period. Further clarification is required.</p> <p>3. The monitoring plan requires the yearly monitoring of regulatory requirements relating to LFG projects regarding the adjustment factor (AF). However, neither the monitoring report nor the verification report states this. Further clarification is required.</p> <p>4. The monitoring report stated that the flare efficiency was monitored at least yearly, with the first measurement made at the time of the installation of the flare, while the approved methodology requires that the flare efficiency should be checked quarterly, with monthly checks if the efficiency shows significant deviations from previous values. Further clarification is required on how the DOE verified the flare efficiency.</p> <p>5. The monitoring report is required to contain the data of the monitored parameters listed in the monitoring plan. However, the data of the monitored parameters is only presented in the spreadsheet as a confidential document.</p>	
Section below to be filled in by UNFCCC secretariat	
Date received at UNFCCC secretariat	20/03/2008