



Industrie Service

**Choose certainty.
Add value.**

TÜV SÜD Industrie Service GmbH · 80684 Munich · Germany

CDM Executive Board



DAP-PL-2885.99
DAP-IS-2886.00
DAP-PL-3089.00
DAP-PL-2722
DAP-IS-3516.01
DPT-ZE-3510.02
ZLS-ZE-219/99
ZLS-ZE-246/99

Your reference/letter of	Our reference/name	Tel. extension/E-mail	Fax extension	Date/Document	Page
	IS-CMS-MUC/Mu Javier Castro	+49 89 5791-2686 javier.castro@tuev-sued.de	+49 89 5791-2756	2008-04-08	1 of 3

Response to Request for Review

Dear Sirs,

Please find below the response to the request for review formulated for the CDM project with the registration number 0254. In case you have any further inquiries please let us know as we kindly assist you.

Yours sincerely,

Javier Castro
Carbon Management Service

Headquarters: Munich
Trade Register: Munich HRB 96 869

Supervisory Board:
Dr.-Ing. Axel Stepken (Chairman)
Managing Director:
Dr.-Ing. Manfred Bayerlein

Telefon: +49 89 5791-2246
Telefax: +49 89 5791-2756
www.tuev-sued.de

TÜV®

TÜV SÜD Industrie Service GmbH
Niederlassung München
Umwelt Service
Westendstrasse 199
80686 Munich
Germany



Issue 1:

1. The spreadsheet shows that 0.8m³/min of LFG gas was supplied and no LFG was flared from 8:30 to 10:14 on 1 February 2007, while the temperature of flare shows 700.8109°C for this specific period. Further clarification is required on how the DOE verified the temperature of the flare.

Response by TÜV SÜD:

During the period with constant values for flow or temperature no emission reductions are claimed, therefore it is not relevant for the calculation. Since the equipment was not properly functioning so during this period the value in the equipment has been kept fix. The values presented in the excel file is only the result of the direct transmission from the measurement equipment.

Issue 2:

2. The spreadsheet shows that 6,978.71m³/hour of LFG gas was supplied and no LFG was flared from 3 to 5 February 2007, while about 2,000 m³/hour of LFG was supplied under normal operation during this monitoring period. Further clarification is required.

Response by TÜV SÜD:

For the complete period mentioned no emission reductions are claimed. Due to improper functioning of the equipment during this period the value in the equipment has been kept fixed. No emission reductions are claimed for this period, the value of 6 978.71 is not a measured value rather it is a result of the improper functioning of the equipment and therefore this period has been excluded from the emission reduction calculation.

Issue 3:

3. The monitoring plan requires the yearly monitoring of regulatory requirements relating to LFG projects regarding the adjustment factor (AF). However, neither the monitoring report nor the verification report states this. Further clarification is required.

Response by TÜV SÜD:

The revised monitoring and verification reports will be submitted to the UNFCCC including the required corrections. And the fact is that no law has been implemented for recovery of methane from landfill.

Issue 4:

4. The monitoring report stated that the flare efficiency was monitored at least yearly, with the first measurement made at the time of the installation of the flare, while the approved methodology requires that the flare efficiency should be checked quarterly, with monthly checks if the efficiency shows significant deviations from previous values. Further clarification is required on how the DOE verified the flare efficiency.

Response by TÜV SÜD:

The flare efficiency has been checked based on the tests done on the flare. The results of the test show a clear stability on the efficiency of the flare as all the results are very similar. The project participant will realize these tests in the required periodicity for the following verification periods.

Issue 5:

5. The monitoring report is required to contain the data of the monitored parameters listed in the monitoring plan. However, the data of the monitored parameters is only presented in the spreadsheet as a confidential document.

Response by TÜV SÜD:

The revised monitoring will be submitted to the UNFCCC including the required information.