


| | |
|---|--|
|  CDM project activity issuance review form <i>(By submitting this form, a Party involved (through the designated national authority) or an Executive Board member may request that a review is undertaken)</i> | |
| Designated national authority/Executive Board member submitting this form (Name in print) | |
| Title of the proposed CDM project activity for which issuance is requested | 0177 Lawley Fuel Switch Project (year 2006) |
| DOE that requested for issuance and date of request | SGS 22/02/08 |
| Please indicate, in accordance with paragraphs 65 of the CDM modalities and procedures, for which reason(s) you request review. (Place a cross (X) in front of the reason) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Fraud</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Malfeasance</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Incompetence</i> | |
| Please indicate reasons for the request for review and attach any supporting documentation to this request form. (if space is not sufficient please attach further reasons) | |
| <p>The plant expanded the annual brick output from 73 million to 92 million in this monitoring period and the PP claimed the emission reductions only for the baseline output of 73 million bricks by using a production conversion factor. However, the methodology requires that the project activity does not increase the capacity of final outputs. Hence:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Further clarification is required on how the DOE verified that the monitoring report is in line with the methodology and how it verified the conservativeness of the project emissions associated with this approach. 2) Substantiated clarification of the PP and DOE is required on the impact of the higher production rate on the conclusions on the additionality of the project activity, if this higher production rate was assumed at the moment of registration of the project activity. | |
| Section below to be filled in by UNFCCC secretariat | |
| Date received at UNFCCC secretariat | 06/03/2008 |