

Response of the Project Participants to the request for review for: "Zillo Lorenzetti Bagasse Cogeneration Project (ZLBC)" (0202)

All requests for review have exactly the same content and, therefore, the comments of the project participants are valid to all requests. In the following text, the reasons for request are *italicized*.

Requests 1, 2, 3 and 4

The project activity is claiming zero project emissions in the monitoring report since it is indicated in the PDD that no fossil fuel will be consumed. However, it is unclear from the verification report how the DOE has verified no fossil fuel has been consumed and thus confirming zero project emissions. Although the monitoring plan does not specify the requirement for monitoring of the fossil fuel used within the project boundary, the monitoring methodology applied to this project activity stipulates this requirement. The DOE should have verified that no emissions from the consumption of the fossil fuel have been generated by the project activity in accordance with the approved methodology.

Methodology AM0015 requires the monitoring of CO₂ emissions from fossil fuels combusted due to the project activity at the project site, **where relevant**.

The only emissions due to fossil fuels at the project site are due to the transportation of sugar cane, by trucks, to the sugar mill. This transportation existed already in the baseline, and did not change because of the project, so that there are no net changes in CO₂ emissions from fossil fuels due to the project activity. See annexed a document provided by Caldema, the boilers' manufacturer and provider of maintenance services, stating that the equipment was designed to burn sugar cane bagasse and must not be put in operation burning other types of fuels.

Zillo monitors constantly that there are no relevant sources of fossil fuel emissions due to the project activity at the project site, and confirms that project emissions are zero. This information will be included in the Monitoring Report