

 CDM project activity issuance review form <i>(By submitting this form, a Party involved (through the designated national authority) or an Executive Board member may request that a review is undertaken)</i>	
Designated national authority/Executive Board member submitting this form (Name in print)	
Title of the proposed CDM project activity for which issuance is requested	HFC23 Decomposition Project of Zhejiang Juhua Co., Ltd, P. R. China; Project Activity 0193
DOE that requested for issuance and date of request	SGS United Kingdom Ltd (SGS) 19-12-07
Please indicate, in accordance with paragraphs 65 of the CDM modalities and procedures, for which reason(s) you request review. (Place a cross (X) in front of the reason)	
___ <i>Fraud</i> ___ <i>Malfeasance</i> ___x___ <i>Incompetence</i>	
Please indicate reasons for the request for review and attach any supporting documentation to this request form. (if space is not sufficient please attach further reasons)	
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The DOE is required to verify that the w value cannot exceed the capped value for the past one year period, in accordance with paragraph 90 of EB35. 2. Further clarification is required on how DOE confirmed the incinerator operation temperature above 800° C as stated in the Verification Report. 3. When referring to the quantity of HCFC22 produced, the Monitoring Report (in page 21 of 31 of Appendix 3) describes the implementation of the monitoring procedure as calculation of the “Sum of the quantity of HCFC22 from production line to packaging shop each time”. Meters, their accuracy and calibration are not mentioned. However, the DOE in page 9 of 17 of Verification report states both that “HCFC22 production is measured by using mass flow meter, meter readings by shift is taken as raw record and is used to generate the daily data then monthly statistics” and that “The reported Q HCFC22 was checked by verifying the raw records and monthly statistics and no error was found”. Further clarification is required. 4. Further explanation on the decomposition technology used and further clarification is required on whether the decomposition technology is an electric heater, as mentioned in page 6 of 31 of the Monitoring Report: “The decomposition technology does not use fossil fuel; instead uses electric heater to obtain the decomposition temperature”, or steam at 800C° as detailed in PDD (page 5): “The proposed project activity will utilize the superheated steam decomposition technology”. 5. To verify the quantity of HFC23, the methodology requires analysis of the effluent gas when the thermal oxidizer stops (“When the thermal oxidizer stops, analysis of the effluent gas is done to check leaked HFC23 by sampling”). The Monitoring Report mentions analysis of the effluent gas; however it is not clear if this analysis was performed each time the thermal oxidizer stopped. Further clarification is required as some of the necessary parameters to perform that task are not referred to in the Monitoring Report. 6. Further clarification is required on the emission factor of the steam supply, on the parameters used in the calculation, its sources and levels of accuracy. 7. The DOE Verification Team included only one person, Mr. Qi Yang as Lead Assessor. Further clarification is required regarding the assessor's qualifications, specific scope expertise and relevant experience, as it is not detailed in the Verification Report. 	
Section below to be filled in by UNFCCC secretariat	
Date received at UNFCCC secretariat	23/01/2008