

CDM project activity issuance review form
(By submitting this form, a Party involved (through the designated national authority) or an Executive Board member may request that a review is undertaken)

| authority) or an  | n Executive Board men   | mber may request that a review is undertaken)  |
|---|---|--|
| Designated national<br>authority/Executive Board member<br>submitting this form (Name in print)   |   |  |
| Title of the proposed CDM project activity for which issuance is requested  | RSCL Cogeneration   | Expansion Project (0127)   |
| DOE that requested for issuance and date of request   | SGS-UKL   |  |
| Please indicate, in accordance with you request review. (Place a cross  |   | CDM modalities and procedures, for which reason(s) son)  |
| Fraud Malfeasance   | e <u>X</u> Incompe  | etence   |
| Please indicate reasons for the requ<br>form. (if space is not sufficient plea  |   | ach any supporting documentation to this request ons)  |
| validating DOE on Sep 8 <sup>th</sup> 2005), es options for the calculation of the batherefore that both OM and BM shown each year, rather than being fixed exmonitoring report as well.  In the course of this appraisal the Pin relation to both step 0 (no actual) | stablished that for promaseline emissions are a uld have been included at ante, and that therefore and to be not documents were products. | y 6-8 2005 (the PDD ver. Aug. 5 2005 was published by the pt start projects such as this one, "when ex ante or ex post allowed, the ex post option should be selected." It appears in the monitoring plan as quantities to be computed ex post re they should have been recalculated ex post in the current of transparent with respect to issues relating to additionality, ced showing that CDM income had been considered during iters, which cannot be reproduced by a reader. |
|   |   |  |
|   |   |  |
| Section below to be filled in by UNF  | CCC secretariat   |  |
| Date received at UNFCCC secretariat   |   | 19/01/2007   |
|   |   |  |