

CDM project activity issuance review form
(By submitting this form, a Party involved (through the designated national authority) or an Executive Board member may request that a review is undertaken)

authority) or an Executive Board member may request that a review is undertaken)	
Designated national authority/Executive Board member submitting this form (Name in print)	
Title of the proposed CDM project activity for which issuance is requested	RSCL Cogeneration Expansion Project (0127)
DOE that requested for issuance and date of request	SGS-UKL
Please indicate, in accordance with you request review. (Place a cross	paragraphs 65 of the CDM modalities and procedures, for which reason(s) (X) in front of the reason)
Fraud Malfeasance	e <u>X</u> Incompetence
Please indicate reasons for the requirem. (if space is not sufficient plea	uest for review and attach any supporting documentation to this request se attach further reasons)
validating DOE on Sep 8 th 2005), es options for the calculation of the ba therefore that both OM and BM sho	a 24./EB20 taken on July 6-8 2005 (the PDD ver. Aug. 5 2005 was published by the stablished that for prompt start projects such as this one, "when ex ante or ex post asseline emissions are allowed, the ex post option should be selected." It appears uld have been included in the monitoring plan as quantities to be computed ex post x ante, and that therefore they should have been recalculated ex post in the current and that therefore they should have been recalculated ex post in the current and the computed ex post in the current and the current
Section below to be filled in by UNF	FCCC secretariat
Date received at UNFCCC secretariat	