

 CDM project activity issuance review form <i>(By submitting this form, a Party involved (through the designated national authority) or an Executive Board member may request that a review is undertaken)</i>	
Designated national authority/Executive Board member submitting this form (Name in print)	
Title of the proposed CDM project activity for which issuance is requested	RSCL Cogeneration Expansion Project (0127)
DOE that requested for issuance and date of request	SGS-UKL
Please indicate, in accordance with paragraphs 65 of the CDM modalities and procedures, for which reason(s) you request review. (Place a cross (X) in front of the reason)	
<input type="checkbox"/> <i>Fraud</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Malfeasance</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Incompetence</i>	
Please indicate reasons for the request for review and attach any supporting documentation to this request form. (if space is not sufficient please attach further reasons)	
<p>A decision of the EB, i.e., paragraph 24./EB20 taken on July 6-8 2005 (the PDD ver. Aug. 5 2005 was published by the validating DOE on Sep 8th 2005), established that for prompt start projects such as this one, "when ex ante or ex post options for the calculation of the baseline emissions are allowed, the ex post option should be selected." It appears therefore that both OM and BM should have been included in the monitoring plan as quantities to be computed ex post each year, rather than being fixed ex ante, and that therefore they should have been recalculated ex post in the current monitoring report as well.</p>	
Section below to be filled in by UNFCCC secretariat	
Date received at UNFCCC secretariat	22/01/2007