

 <b>CDM project activity issuance review form</b> <i>(By submitting this form, a Party involved (through the designated national authority) or an Executive Board member may request that a review is undertaken)</i>	
<b>Designated national authority/Executive Board member submitting this form (Name in print)</b>	
<b>Title of the proposed CDM project activity for which issuance is requested</b>	18 MW Biomass Power Project in Tamilnadu, India; Project Activity 0111
<b>DOE that requested for issuance and date of request</b>	SGS 22-10-07
<b>Please indicate, in accordance with paragraphs 65 of the CDM modalities and procedures, for which reason(s) you request review. (Place a cross (X) in front of the reason)</b>	
<input type="checkbox"/> <i>Fraud</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Malfeasance</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Incompetence</i>	
<b>Please indicate reasons for the request for review and attach any supporting documentation to this request form. (if space is not sufficient please attach further reasons)</b>	
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. While the monitoring plan and the methodology require the measurement of efficiency (heat rate) of the boiler, the monitoring report did not provide any monitored values of the efficiency of the boiler.</li> <li>2. The monitoring report stated that the plant consumed biomass including prosopis juliflora, rice husk and other biomass. However, the spreadsheet shows the same calorific value of biomass of 3,845 Kcal/kg for this monitoring period of about 26 months. Further explanation is required on how DOE verified the calorific value of biomass.</li> <li>3. The project participant is required to provide monitored values of methane in stack gas in accordance with the methodology.</li> <li>4. The DOE raised a CAR regarding the different boiler capacity between the registered PDD of 77 TPH and the monitoring report of 80 TPH. However, it just stated that relevant documents provided by the project participant were verified and the argument was found reasonable. The DOE is required to explain how the DOE verified this difference.</li> <li>5. The monitoring report includes electricity auxiliary consumption and electricity transmission losses which the monitoring plan does not require to monitor..</li> </ol>	
<b>Section below to be filled in by UNFCCC secretariat</b>	
Date received at UNFCCC secretariat	07/11/2007