

 <b>CDM project activity issuance review form</b> <i>(By submitting this form, a Party involved (through the designated national authority) or an Executive Board member may request that a review is undertaken)</i>	
<b>Designated national authority/Executive Board member submitting this form (Name in print)</b>	
<b>Title of the proposed CDM project activity for which issuance is requested</b>	N2O Emission Reduction in Onsan, Republic of Korea; Project Activity 0099
<b>DOE that requested for issuance and date of request</b>	TÜV SÜD 15-09-07
<b>Please indicate, in accordance with paragraphs 65 of the CDM modalities and procedures, for which reason(s) you request review. (Place a cross (X) in front of the reason)</b>	
<input type="checkbox"/> <i>Fraud</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Malfeasance</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Incompetence</i>	
<b>Please indicate reasons for the request for review and attach any supporting documentation to this request form. (if space is not sufficient please attach further reasons)</b>	
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. During the monitoring period of 24 days, the average adipic acid production was 434 TPD, exceeding the maximum production of 415 TPD as set in the PDD. Further clarification is required.</li> <li>2. The participant reported an updated emission factor for power generation which was calculated ex-post. However, this calculation should be made available. Further information is required.</li> <li>3. While the DOE states in the Verification and Certification Report that: "Calibration sheets and related calibration documents had been submitted by Rhodia Recherchés et Technologie June 21, 2006. A calibration of the flow meter required for determining the emission reductions by the aerobic treatment system has not been necessary during the monitoring period, as this system went into operation in 2004 only, relying on the original calibration of the manufacturer. No further calibration activities are required for this CDM activity", the PP states in the Monitoring Report that: "During this period, we made Calibration/Maintenance of Measuring and analytical instruments according to PDD". Further clarification is required related to which calibration activities were effectively done, by whom and who verified those activities when required.</li> <li>4. In accordance with the methodology applied by the project and monitoring plan from the PDD the parameter <math>r_y</math> (Korean regulation required share of N2O emissions to be destroyed) should be monitored for establishment of baseline. Further clarification is required that there is no change in regulation of N2O and, in addition, the DOE should clarify how this parameter has been verified.</li> <li>5. The PP/Doe shall further clarify how the grid emission factor has been calculated. Do they apply ex-post monitoring of this factor? How do they calculate Combined Margin if data on Build Margin is not available?</li> <li>6.</li> </ol>	
<b>Section below to be filled in by UNFCCC secretariat</b>	
Date received at UNFCCC secretariat	10/10/2007