

Choose certainty. Add value.

TÜV SÜD Industrie Service GmbH  $\cdot$  80684 München  $\cdot$  Germany

### **CDM Executive Board**

ur reference/letter of	Our reference/name	Tel. extension/E-mail	Fax extension	Date/Document	Page
	IS-USC-MUC/Bb	+49-89 5791-2170	+49-89 5791-2756	2007-06-21	1 of 2
	Werner Betzenbichler	Werner.Betzenbichler@tuev-sued.de			

#### **Request for review**

Dear Sirs,

Your

Please find below the response to the request for review formulated for the CDM project with the registration number 0099. In case you have any further inquiries please let us know as we kindly assist you.

Yours sincerely,

Werner Betzenbichler Carbon Management Service

Supervisory Board: Dr. Axel Stepken (Chairman) Board of Management: Dr. Manfred Bayerlein (Chairman) Dr. Udo Heisel

Telefon: +49 89 5791-1733 Telefax: +49 89 5791-2756 www.tuev-sued.de TÜV SÜD Industrie Service GmbH Certification Body "Climate and Energy" Westendstraße 199 80686 München Germany Page 2 of 2 Our reference/Date: IS-USC-MUC// 2007-06-21



# Response to the CDM Executive Board

## Request 1, 2 and 3

Issue:

The DOE should clarify why it is acceptable that the CAR1 of the previous verification report is not completed in the subsequent (current) verification report, as per page12/16 of the pertinent verification report.

## Response by TÜV SÜD:

In fact there has never been any CAR 1 issued neither in this nor in the previous report. This can easily be confirmed by checking the provided report and its protocol as well as by doing the same with the previous verification report. The issue above is resulting by a typo in chapter 3.1.1 of our verification report, which has not been detected during the internal review process. Instead of CAR1 it should have been CR1, i.e. there should be reference to a clarification request of the previous report. Even more the clarification request was already phased out during the previous verification, while the project participant proposed voluntarily additional measures helping to avoid similar situations (see verification report #5). Chapter 3.1.1 was only describing that the additional measures have not yet been implemented. The issue raised is not a matter of acceptability as the concern above fears. To provide more clarity we corrected the typo and added this information in a more transparent manner to chapter 3.1.1 of our revised verification report which has been forwarded together with this response.