

 CDM project activity issuance review form <i>(By submitting this form, a Party involved (through the designated national authority) or an Executive Board member may request that a review is undertaken)</i>	
Designated national authority/Executive Board member submitting this form (Name in print)	
Title of the proposed CDM project activity for which issuance is requested	4.5 MW Maujhi Grid-connected SHP in Himachal Pradesh, India; Project Activity 0098
DOE that requested for issuance and date of request	DNV 11-09-07
Please indicate, in accordance with paragraphs 65 of the CDM modalities and procedures, for which reason(s) you request review. (Place a cross (X) in front of the reason)	
<input type="checkbox"/> <i>Fraud</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Malfeasance</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Incompetence</i>	
Please indicate reasons for the request for review and attach any supporting documentation to this request form. (if space is not sufficient please attach further reasons)	
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The DOE shall clarify how they have verified calibration procedures as the verification report contains inconsistent statement regarding the frequency of calibration of the meters: “the calibration of the electricity meters is being done regularly every six months and have been verified by DNV”(page 4) and “calibration process is followed as per defined procedures and carried out annually and the calibration certificates of the instruments used for data monitoring and recording were also verified during the site visit”(page 5). The PP shall clarify whether they have maintained and calibrated energy meters as per the Monitoring Plan or only “according to procedures laid out in the PPA”, as stated in the Monitoring Report (page 2 of 5). The DOE shall clarify how they have verified that “All the data required for emission reduction calculations [that] are manually recorded in log sheets every month” are “then transferred to a spreadsheet and the actual values for the month are then taken for emission reduction calculations.” The DOE shall clarify how they have verified that the calibration has been done by an entity with the appropriate competencies and qualifications. The PP shall further clarify their reference to uncertainty of results in the Monitoring Report (page 5 of 5). The PP shall further clarify if the Joint Meter Reading was actually verified or will be only verified in next monitoring periods as stated in page 5 of 5 of their Monitoring Report. 	
Section below to be filled in by UNFCCC secretariat	
Date received at UNFCCC secretariat	04/10/2007