



Industrie Service

**Choose certainty.
Add value.**

TÜV SÜD Industrie Service GmbH · 80684 München · Germany

CDM Executive Board

Your reference/letter of	Our reference/name	Tel. extension/E-mail	Fax extension	Date/Document	Page
	IS-CMS-MUC/Bb Werner Betzenbichler	+49-89 5791-2170 Werner.Betzenbichler@tuev-sued.de	+49-89 5791-2756	2007-08-01	1 of 3

Request for review

Dear Sirs,

Please find below the response to the request for review formulated for the CDM project with the registration number 0032. In case you have any further inquiries please let us know as we kindly assist you.

Yours sincerely,

Javier Castro
Carbon Management Service

Response to the CDM Executive Board

Issue 1:

The project participant and the DOE are required to provide:

1. A clarification is required on whether the CO₂ concentration in the biogas flow required by the monitoring plan has been monitored.

Response by the project participants:

The CO₂ concentration in the biogas flow has been monitored according to the monitoring plan during the crediting period to be verified: 1st June 2006 – 31st October 2006. The registries of these measurements are managed by Agrosuper and they were checked in the verification audit for the third periodic verification. The registries of the monthly average CO₂ concentration in the biogas flow are in the attached file "**Registries of the monitoring plan for Peralillo Jun_Oct 2006**".

Response by TÜV SÜD:

TÜV SÜD confirms the monitoring of the CO₂ concentration in the biogas flow and the excel sheet Registries of the monitoring plan for Peralillo Jun_Oct 2006 has been submitted to the DOE and will be send to the EB.

Issue 2:

The project participant and the DOE are required to provide:

2. The DOE is required to provide clarification on how the FAR 3 from the previous verification report has been resolved.

Response by the project participants:

The list was implemented and it is operative since November 2006. It is managed by Agrosuper and contains all the measurement equipment for each project. In the verification audit for the third periodic verification, the DOE checked the calibration manuals and procedures and they are consistent with the implemented list.

Response by TÜV SÜD:

TÜV SÜD confirms that the list is operative since November 2006 and the calibration manuals and procedures are consistent with the implemented list.

Issue 3:

The project participant and the DOE are required to provide:

3. A clarification is required on why the emission reductions figures in the submitted spreadsheet are inconsistent with the ones in the monitoring report.

Response by the project participants:

The emission reductions presented in the monitoring report are lower than those presented in the submitted spreadsheet because the figures in the monitoring report are rounded off for conservativeness, as required by TÜV SÜD. The rounding off was made conservatively, by rounding down the baseline emissions and rounding up the project emissions and the leakage. Therefore, the spreadsheet has been corrected including the conservative rounding off of the emission reductions. Attached is the corrected spreadsheet "**(8) Documento calculo reduccion de emisiones Peralillo Jun_Oct 2006.xls**".

Response by TÜV SÜD:

TÜV SÜD confirms the receipt of the spreadsheet with the conservative round off and consistent with the emission reductions of the monitoring report