


| | |
|--|--------------------------------------|
|  CDM project activity registration review form <i>(By submitting this form, a Party involved (through the designated national authority) or an Executive Board member may request that a review is undertaken)</i> | |
| Designated national authority/Executive Board member submitting this form | |
| Title of the proposed CDM project activity submitted for registration | Paramonga CDM Bagasse Boiler Project |
| <p>Please indicate, in accordance with paragraphs 37 and 40 of the CDM modalities and procedures, which validation requirement(s) may require review. A list of requirements is provided below. Please provide reasons in support of the request for review, including any supporting documentation.</p> | |
| <p>X <i>The following are requirements derived from paragraph 37 of the CDM modalities and procedures:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> The participation requirements as set out in paragraphs 28 to 30 of the CDM modalities and procedures are satisfied; <input type="checkbox"/> Comments by local stakeholders have been invited, a summary of the comments received has been provided, and a report to the designated operational entity (DOE) on how due account was taken of any comments has been received; <input type="checkbox"/> Project participants have submitted to the DOE documentation on the analysis of the environmental impacts of the project activity, including transboundary impacts and, if those impacts are considered significant by the project participants or the host Party, have undertaken an environmental impact assessment in accordance with procedures as required by the host Party; <input type="checkbox"/> The project activity is expected to result in a reduction in anthropogenic emissions by sources of greenhouse gases that are additional to any that would occur in the absence of the proposed project activity, in accordance with paragraphs 43 to 52 of the CDM modalities and procedures; <input type="checkbox"/> The baseline and monitoring methodologies comply with requirements pertaining to methodologies previously approved by the Executive Board; <input type="checkbox"/> Provisions for monitoring, verification and reporting are in accordance with decision 17/CP.7, the CDM modalities and procedures and relevant decisions of the COP/MOP; <p>X The project activity conforms to all other requirements for CDM project activities in decision 17/CP.7, the CDM modalities and procedures and relevant decisions by the COP/MOP and the Executive Board.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <i>The following are requirements derived from paragraph 40 of the CDM modalities and procedures:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> The DOE shall, prior to the submission of the validation report to the Executive Board, have received from the project participants written approval of voluntary participation from the designated national authority of each Party involved, including confirmation by the host Party that the project activity assists it in achieving sustainable development; <input type="checkbox"/> In accordance with provisions on confidentiality contained in paragraph 27 (h) of the CDM modalities and procedures, the DOE shall make publicly available the project design document; <input type="checkbox"/> The DOE shall receive, within 30 days, comments on the validation requirements from Parties, stakeholders and UNFCCC accredited non-governmental organizations and make them publicly available; <input type="checkbox"/> After the deadline for receipt of comments, the DOE shall make a determination as to whether, on the basis of the information provided and taking into account the comments received, the project activity should be validated; <input type="checkbox"/> The DOE shall inform project participants of its determination on the validation of the project activity. Notification to the project participants will include confirmation of validation and the date of submission of the validation report to the Executive Board; <input type="checkbox"/> The DOE shall submit to the Executive Board, if it determines the proposed project activity to be valid, a request for registration in the form of a validation report including the project design document, the written approval of the host Party and an explanation of how it has taken due account of comments received. | |
| Section below to be filled in by UNFCCC secretariat | |
| Date received at UNFCCC secretariat | |

Reasons for the request:

It is said that in extraordinary circumstances residual oil could be burned. It is not clear if the residual oil would be burned in the new boiler or in the old ones. In both situations it would have CO₂ emissions: in the first case as project activity emissions; and in the second case as leakage. This possibility is considered in the monitoring plan (See validation report pg. 7, last paragraph, last sentence) but is not taken into account in the equation which calculates the project emissions reduction (although is said in the validation report that “emissions from the use of any residual fuel oil will be deducted from the total emission reduction ex-post” page 9 of the validation report, first paragraph). It is not enough to monitor these eventual emissions if they are not taken into account in the equation which calculates the project emissions reduction.

Because the use of bagasse and the possibility of seasonal lack of surplus bagasse extraordinarily residual fuel oil can be used in the project and not accounted for as the equations are formulated currently in this project. The project boundary includes an input (Vapor 15 psig/45psig QUIMPAC input in Diagram 2) of steam from QUIMPAC that could also be from residual oil burning and these emissions are not accounted for.

Lastly, the project development document has editing problems regarding the use of Spanish in two graphs (pages 16 and 17) and in one table (page 19). The official work language of the EB is English.