

 <b>CDM project activity issuance review form</b> <i>(By submitting this form, a Party involved (through the designated national authority) or an Executive Board member may request that a review is undertaken)</i>	
<b>Designated national authority/Executive Board member submitting this form (Name in print)</b>	
<b>Title of the proposed CDM project activity for which issuance is requested</b>	0045 Cuyamapa Hydroelectric Project
<b>DOE that requested for issuance and date of request</b>	DNV 31.07.2007
<b>Please indicate, in accordance with paragraphs 65 of the CDM modalities and procedures, for which reason(s) you request review. (Place a cross (X) in front of the reason)</b>	
<input type="checkbox"/> <i>Fraud</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Malfeasance</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Incompetence</i>	
<b>Please indicate reasons for the request for review and attach any supporting documentation to this request form. (if space is not sufficient please attach further reasons)</b>	
<p>Further clarification is required regarding:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The reasons the daily electricity generations on 16-17 December 2006 are above the maximum generating capacity of the plant.</li> <li>2. How the DOE verified the net electricity generation in October-December 2006 while only partial data was obtained during this period and according to the verification report it "only will be verified until 15 March 2007 when PPA begins."</li> <li>3. How the DOE verified net electricity generation for January 2007 in the "generation certificate" issued by the grid company while the verification report stated that "there is no evidence of January's dispatched trial electricity."</li> </ol>	
<b>Section below to be filled in by UNFCCC secretariat</b>	
Date received at UNFCCC secretariat	16/08/2007