
VALIDATION OPINION FOR REVISION OF REGISTERED MONITORING PLAN

Nestlé Chile S.A.

Graneros Plant Fuel Switching project

UNFCCC Ref. No. 0024

SGS Climate Change Programme

SGS United Kingdom Ltd
SGS House
217-221 London Road
Camberley Surrey
GU15 3EY
United Kingdom

Date of Issue:		Project Number:	
24/04/2008		CDM.VER0009	
Project Title:			
Graneros plant fuel switching project			
Organisation:		Client:	
SGS United Kingdom Limited		Nestlé Chile S.A.	
Subject:			
Validation opinion for revision of Registered Monitoring Plan			
Validation Team:			
Fabian Goncalves – Lead Assessor Carolina Campos – Local Assessor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Distribution (without permission from the Client or responsible organisational unit)	
Technical Review:	Trainee Technical Reviewer:		
Date: 25.04.2008 Name: Sanjeev Kumar	Name: N/A	<input type="checkbox"/> Limited Distribution	
Authorised Signatory:		<input type="checkbox"/> Unrestricted Distribution	
Name: Siddharth Yadav Date: 21.05.2008			
Revision Number:	Date:	Number of Pages:	
0	24/04/2008	11	
1			
2			

Table of Content

1.	Validation Opinion	4
2.	Introduction	5
2.1	Objective.....	5
2.2	Scope.....	5
2.3	GHG Project Description	5
2.4	The Names and Roles of the Validation Team Members.....	5
3.	Methodology.....	6
3.1	Review of CDM-PDD and Additional Documentation	6
3.2	Use of the Validation Protocol	6
3.3	Findings	6
3.4	Internal Quality Control	7
4.	Validation Findings	8
4.1	Participation Requirements.....	8
4.2	Project Design	8
4.3	Baseline Selection and Additionality	8
4.4	Application of Baseline Methodology and Calculation of Emission Factors	8
4.5	Application of Monitoring Methodology and Monitoring Plan	8
4.6	Choice of the Crediting Period	8
4.7	Environmental Impacts	8
4.8	Local Stakeholder Comments	9
5.	List of Persons Interviewed	10
6.	Document References	11

1. Validation Opinion

Paragraph 57 of the modalities and procedures for the CDM allow project participants to revise monitoring plans in order to improve accuracy and/or completeness of information, subject to the revision being validated by a Designated Operational Entity.

SGS United Kingdom Ltd has been contracted by Nestlé Chile S.A. to perform such a validation of the revision of monitoring plan according to the procedure detailed in annex 34 to EB 26 meeting report, the original monitoring plan is part of the PDD of registered CDM project: Graneros plant fuel switching in Chile; UNFCCC ref. no. 0024. The purpose of a validation is to have an independent third party assessment of the revision of monitoring plan. In particular, the level of accuracy or completeness in the proposed revision of the monitoring plan, and the conformity with approved monitoring methodology applicable to the project activity.

By applying the proposed revision of monitoring plan, the same will be inline with the monitoring methodology. This revision improves the accuracy of information.

Theoretically, there should be no impact on the calculation of the emissions reduction achieved by this project activity because the revision is aiming to address the monitoring plan to be in line with the monitoring methodology.

Furthermore, we confirm that:

- (a) the proposed revision of the monitoring plan ensures that the level of accuracy or completeness in the monitoring and verification process is not reduced as a result of the revisions;
- (b) the proposed revision of the monitoring plan is in accordance with the approved monitoring methodology applicable to the project activity
- (c) This is the second verification for the said project activity. During first verification; emission reductions was calculated using the same approach mentioned in revised MP.

Signed on Behalf of the Validation Body by Authorized Signatory



Signature:

Name: Siddharth Yadav

Date: 21.05.2008

2. Introduction

2.1 Objective

Paragraph 57 of the modalities and procedures for the CDM allow project participants to revise monitoring plans in order to improve accuracy and/or completeness of information, subject to the revision being validated by a Designated Operational Entity.

SGS United Kingdom Ltd has been contracted by Nestlé Chile S.A. to perform such a validation of the revision of monitoring plan according to the procedure detailed in annex 34 to EB 26 meeting report, the original monitoring plan is part of the PDD of registered CDM project: Graneros plant fuel switching project; UNFCCC ref. no. 0024. The purpose of a validation is to have an independent third party assessment of the revision of monitoring plan. In particular, the level of accuracy or completeness in the proposed revision of the monitoring plan, and the conformity with the approved monitoring methodology applicable to the project activity.

The Validation was performed in accordance with the UNFCCC criteria for the Clean Development Mechanism (CDM) and host country criteria, as well as criteria given to provide for consistent project operations, monitoring and reporting.

2.2 Scope

The scope of the validation is defined as an independent and objective review of the project design document, the project's baseline study and monitoring plan and other relevant documents. The information in these documents is reviewed against Kyoto Protocol requirements, UNFCCC rules and associated interpretations. SGS has employed a risk-based approach in the validation, focusing on the identification of significant risks for project implementation and the generation of CERs.

The validation is not meant to provide any consulting towards the Client. However, stated requests for clarifications and/or corrective actions may provide input for improvement of the revised monitoring plan.

2.3 GHG Project Description

As per http://cdm.unfccc.int/UserManagement/FileStorage/FS_750307239 page validation report dated 13-07-2005. The project was registered on 18th July 2005 with reference number 0024. The first verification for the project activity covering period from 1st January 2004 to 31st December 2005 was conducted in November 2006 and CER's were issued on 5th March 2007.

2.4 The Names and Roles of the Validation Team Members

Name	Role	Affiliate
Fabian Goncalves	Lead Assessor	SGS Brasil
Carolina Campos	Local Assessor	SGS Chile

3. Methodology

3.1 Review of CDM-PDD and Additional Documentation

The validation is performed primarily as a document review of the publicly available project documents. The assessment is performed by trained assessors.

3.2 Use of the Validation Protocol

The validation protocol used for the assessment is partly based on the templates of the IETA / World Bank Validation and Verification Manual and partly on the experience of SGS with the validation of CDM projects. It serves the following purposes:

- it organises, details and clarifies the requirements the project is expected to meet; and
- it documents both how a particular requirement has been validated and the result of the validation.

The validation protocol consists of several tables. The different columns in these tables are described below.

Checklist Question	Ref ID	Means of verification (MoV)	Comment	Draft and/or Final Conclusion
The various requirements are linked to checklist questions the project should meet.	Lists any references and sources used in the validation process. Full details are provided in the table at the bottom of the checklist.	Explains how conformance with the checklist question is investigated. Examples of means of verification are document review (DR) or interview (I). N/A means not applicable.	The section is used to elaborate and discuss the checklist question and/or the conformance to the question. It is further used to explain the conclusions reached.	This is either acceptable based on evidence provided (Y), or a Corrective Action Request (CAR) due to non-compliance with the checklist question (See below). New Information Request (NIR) is used when the validation team has identified a need for further clarification.

3.3 Findings

As an outcome of the validation process, the team can raise different types of findings

In general, where insufficient or inaccurate information is available and clarification or new information is required the Assessor shall raise a **New Information Request (NIR)** specifying what additional information is required.

Where a non-conformance arises the Assessor shall raise a **Corrective Action Request (CAR)**. A CAR is issued, where:

- mistakes have been made with a direct influence on project results;
- validation protocol requirements have not been met; or
- there is a risk that the project would not be accepted as a CDM project or that emission reductions will not be verified.

The validation process may be halted until this information has been made available to the assessors' satisfaction. Failure to address a NIR may result in a CAR. Information or clarifications provided as a result of an NIR may also lead to a CAR.

Observations may be raised which are for the benefit of future projects and future verification or validation actors. These have no impact upon the completion of the validation or verification activity.

Corrective Action Requests and New Information Requests are raised in the draft validation protocol and detailed in a separate form. In this form, the Project Developer is given the opportunity to “close” outstanding CARs and respond to NIRs and Observations.

3.4 Internal Quality Control

Following the completion of the assessment process and a recommendation by the Assessment team, all documentation will be forwarded to a Technical Reviewer. The task of the Technical Reviewer is to check that all procedures have been followed and all conclusions are justified. The Technical Reviewer will either accept or reject the recommendation made by the assessment team.

4. Validation Findings

4.1 Participation Requirements

As per http://cdm.unfccc.int/UserManagement/FileStorage/FS_750307239 validation report dated 13-07-2005 available on UNFCCC website <http://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/DB/DNV-CUK1100697197.04/view>. No Change.

4.2 Project Design

As per http://cdm.unfccc.int/UserManagement/FileStorage/FS_750307239 validation report dated 13-07-2005 available on UNFCCC website. <http://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/DB/DNV-CUK1100697197.04/view>. No Change.

4.3 Baseline Selection and Additionality

As per http://cdm.unfccc.int/UserManagement/FileStorage/FS_750307239 validation report dated 13-07-2005 available on UNFCCC website <http://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/DB/DNV-CUK1100697197.04/view>. No Change.

4.4 Application of Baseline Methodology and Calculation of Emission Factors

As per http://cdm.unfccc.int/UserManagement/FileStorage/FS_750307239 validation report dated 13-07-2005 available on UNFCCC website <http://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/DB/DNV-CUK1100697197.04/view>. No Change.

4.5 Application of Monitoring Methodology and Monitoring Plan

The project activity is using AM0008 version 01. The need of revision of monitoring plan is because Monitoring parameters listed in the registered monitoring plan are not specifically the same than the methodology even though the project proponent is monitoring the parameters according to the methodology. This revision will not affect the emission reduction calculations. The revised monitoring plan is attached with this validation opinion.

There is no other change in the validation report available on UNFCCC website http://cdm.unfccc.int/UserManagement/FileStorage/FS_750307239 validation report dated 13-07-2005 available on UNFCCC website <http://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/DB/DNV-CUK1100697197.04/view>.

4.6 Choice of the Crediting Period

As per http://cdm.unfccc.int/UserManagement/FileStorage/FS_750307239 validation report dated 13-07-2005 available on UNFCCC website <http://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/DB/DNV-CUK1100697197.04/view>. No Change.

4.7 Environmental Impacts

As per http://cdm.unfccc.int/UserManagement/FileStorage/FS_750307239 validation report dated 16-03-2006 available on UNFCCC website <http://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/DB/DNV-CUK1100697197.04/view>. No Change.

4.8 Local Stakeholder Comments

As per http://cdm.unfccc.int/UserManagement/FileStorage/FS_750307239 validation report dated 16-03-2006 available on UNFCCC website <http://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/DB/DNV-CUK1100697197.04/view>. No Change.



5. List of Persons Interviewed

N/A

6. Document References

Category 1 Documents (documents provided by the Client that relate directly to the GHG components of the project, (i.e. the CDM Project Design Document, confirmation by the host Party on contribution to sustainable development and written approval of voluntary participation from the designated national authority):

- /1/ Revised Monitoring plan dated 24th April 2008
- /2/ Registered PDD February 2004 revised July 2005
- /3/ AM0008 version 01

- o0o -