



**CDM project activity/programme of activities registration request review form (CDM-REGR-FORM)
(Version 03.0)**

Section 1. General Information

Designated national authority/Executive Board member submitting this form (Name in print)

Title and UNFCCC reference number of the proposed project activity or programme of activities (PoA) submitted for registration

9654 Talimarjan Clean Energy Generation Project

Section 2. Basis for review request

Please indicate, in accordance with paragraphs 37 and 40 of the CDM modalities and procedures (the annex to decision 3/CMP.1), which validation requirements may require review. Please tick the appropriate boxes in the list of requirements below. For requesting a review of a proposed afforestation or reforestation project activity or PoA, please refer to paragraphs 12 and 15 of the CDM modalities and procedures for afforestation and reforestation project activities (the annex to decision 5/CMP.1), and tick the equivalent boxes below mutatis mutandis.

The following are requirements derived from paragraph 37 of the CDM modalities and procedures:

- The participation requirements as set out in paragraph 28 to 30 of the CDM modalities and procedures are satisfied;
- Comments by local stakeholders have been invited, a summary of the comments received has been provided, and a report to the designated operational entity (DOE) on how due account was taken of any comments has been received;
- Project Participants have submitted to the DOE documentation on the analysis of the environmental impacts of the project activity, including transboundary impacts and, if those impacts are considered significant by the project participants or the host Party, have undertaken an environmental impact assessment in accordance with procedures as required by the host Party;
- The project activity is expected to result in a reduction in anthropogenic emissions by sources of greenhouse gases that are additional to any that would occur in the absence of the proposed project activity, in accordance with paragraphs 43 to 52 of the CDM modalities and procedures;
- The baseline and monitoring methodologies comply with requirements pertaining to methodologies previously approved by the Executive Board;
- Provisions for monitoring, verification and reporting are in accordance with decision 17/CP.7, the CDM modalities and procedures and relevant decisions of the COP/MOP;
- The project activity conforms to all other requirements for CDM project activities in decision 17/CP.7, the CDM modalities and procedures and relevant decisions by the COP/MOP and the Executive Board.

The following are requirements derived from paragraph 40 of the CDM modalities and procedures:

- The DOE shall, prior to the submission of the validation report to the Executive Board, have received from the project participants written approval of voluntary participation from the designated national authority of each Party involved, including confirmation by the host Party that the project activity assists it in achieving sustainable development;
- In accordance with provisions on confidentiality contained in paragraph 27(h) of the CDM modalities and procedures, the DOE shall make publicly available the project design document;
- The DOE shall receive, within 30 days, comments on the validation requirements from Parties, stakeholders and UNFCCC accredited non-governmental organizations and make them publicly available;
- After the deadline for receipt of comments, the DOE shall make a determination as to whether, on the basis of the information provided and taking into account the comments received, the project activity should be validated;
- The DOE shall inform project participants of its determination on the validation of the project activity. Notification to the project participants will include confirmation of validation and the date of submission of the validation report to the Executive Board;

- The DOE shall submit to the Executive Board, if it determines the proposed project activity to be valid, a request for registration in the form of a validation report including of the project design document, the written approval of the host Party and an explanation of how it has taken due account of comments received.
- There are only minor issues which should be addressed by the DOE/project participants prior to the registration of the project.

Section 3: Comments supporting review request

Please elaborate the reason for requesting a review on the requirements you indicated in section 2 above.

1) In the absence of the relevant information on the other contracts related to the project activity, e.g. EPC contract, equipment contract or construction contract for the project activity, the DOE is requested to further substantiate the project start date, the date of the "Framework Agreement" between the SJSC "Uzbekenergo" and Synecta, being the earliest date at which either the implementation or construction or real action of a CDM project activity begins. Please refer to Glossary of CDM Terms version 07.0..

2) The DOE is requested to further substantiate the suitability of the input values in line with the VVS version 07.0 paragraph 127(b) and 127(c), in particular: (a) The sources of input values for each alternative. The PDD page 13 indicates the source for the project activity being the Letter #5145 and the document ADB 'Financial analysis (Talimarjan power project), whereas the PDD page 14 shows the source for all alternatives including the project activity being the Letter #5144. Furthermore, page 24 of the Validation Report states that that input values are taken from FSR, which was finalized in March 2012; (b) How it has crosschecked the following input values for each alternative: The Investment cost for each alternative, Maintenance and management costs for each alternative, Capital repair for each alternative, Cost of coal, Internal electricity consumption for each alternative, Technical losses and Commercial losses, as the Validation Report has not provided information how it has crosschecked the aforementioned input values, and it only checked the sources; (c) How it has validated the Efficiency of the plant for each alternative, and Plant load factor. In doing so, the DOE shall explain how the Technical feasibility study developed by Teploelectroproject was used to crosscheck the technical data, and the result of the crosschecking. And for plant load factor, the DOE is also required to explain how it has been validated in line with the EB48 Annex 11. Please refer to VVS version 07.0 paragraph 23(a)(ii), VVS version 07.0 paragraph 127(b) and 127(c).

Date received at UNFCCC secretariat

03 Mar 15