CDM project activity registration review form (F-CDM-RR) (By submitting this form, a Party involved (through the designated national authority) or an Executive Board member may request that a review is undertaken)	
Designated national authority/Executive Board member submitting this form	
Title of the proposed CDM project activity submitted for registration	1602 - 22.5 MW grid connected wind farm project by RSMML in Jaisalmer, India
	and 40 of the CDM modalities and procedures, which t of requirements is provided below. Please provide ing any supporting documentation.
☐ The following are requirements derived from paragraph 37 of	the CDM modalities and procedures:
☐ The participation requirements as set out in paragraphs 28 to 30 of the CDM modalities and procedures are satisfied;	
Comments by local stakeholders have been invited, a sur the designated operational entity (DOE) on how due account	nmary of the comments received has been provided, and a report to was taken of any comments has been received;
activity, including transboundary impacts and, if those impact	tation on the analysis of the environmental impacts of the project ts are considered significant by the project participants or the host t in accordance with procedures as required by the host Party;
	anthropogenic emissions by sources of greenhouse gases that are osed project activity, in accordance with paragraphs 43 to 52 of the
☐ The baseline and monitoring methodologies comply with Executive Board;	requirements pertaining to methodologies previously approved by the
Provisions for monitoring, verification and reporting are in procedures and relevant decisions of the COP/MOP;	accordance with decision 17/CP.7, the CDM modalities and
The project activity conforms to all other requirements for procedures and relevant decisions by the COP/MOP and the	CDM project activities in decision 17/CP.7, the CDM modalities and e Executive Board.
☐ The following are requirements derived from paragraph 40 of	the CDM modalities and procedures:
The DOE shall, prior to the submission of the validation report to the Executive Board, have received from the project participants written approval of voluntary participation from the designated national authority of each Party involved, including confirmation by the host Party that the project activity assists it in achieving sustainable development;	
In accordance with provisions on confidentiality contained in paragraph 27 (h) of the CDM modalities and procedures, the DOE shall make publicly available the project design document;	
☐ The DOE shall receive, within 30 days, comments on the validation requirements from Parties, stakeholders and UNFCCC accredited non-governmental organizations and make them publicly available;	
After the deadline for receipt of comments, the DOE shall make a determination as to whether, on the basis of the information provided and taking into account the comments received, the project activity should be validated;	
The DOE shall inform project participants of its determination on the validation of the project activity. Notification to the project participants will include confirmation of validation and the date of submission of the validation report to the Executive Board;	
☐ The DOE shall submit to the Executive Board, if it determines the proposed project activity to be valid, a request for registration in the form of a validation report including the project design document, the written approval of the host Party and an explanation of how it has taken due account of comments received.	
There are only minor issues which should be addressed by the	DOE / project participants prior to the registration of the project.
Section below to be filled in by UNFCCC secretariat	
Date received at UNFCCC secretariat	20.05.2008

1. The DOE should confirm how the benchmark of 16% has been validated to be appropriate, as this value is applied to determine applicable tariffs.

2. The DOE should explain how it has validated that the sensitivity analysis is sufficient to prove that the project activity cannot be feasible without CDM revenues.



page 2

3. The DOE should justify how the 4 Rupees/kWh has been validated as being an applicable tariff for the crediting period of the project activity.

4. The PP should justify why it took nearly two years to start validating the project activity (July 2007) if CDM was considered in September 2005.