CDM project activity registration review form (F-CDM-RR) (By submitting this form, a Party involved (through the designated national authority) or an Executive Board member may request that a review is undertaken)	
Designated national authority/Executive Board member submitting this form	
Title of the proposed CDM project activity submitted for registration	Fundão-Santa Clara Energetic Complex Project (FSCECP); Project activity 1279
Please indicate, in accordance with paragraphs 37 and 40 of the CDM modalities and procedures, which validation requirement(s) may require review. A list of requirements is provided below. Please provide reasons in support of the request for review, including any supporting documentation.	
☐ The following are requirements derived from paragraph 37 c	of the CDM modalities and procedures:
The participation requirements as set out in paragraphs	s 28 to 30 of the CDM modalities and procedures are satisfied;
Comments by local stakeholders have been invited, a summary of the comments received has been provided, and a report to the designated operational entity (DOE) on how due account was taken of any comments has been received;	
Project participants have submitted to the DOE documentation on the analysis of the environmental impacts of the project activity, including transboundary impacts and, if those impacts are considered significant by the project participants or the host Party, have undertaken an environmental impact assessment in accordance with procedures as required by the host Party;	
The project activity is expected to result in a reduction in anthropogenic emissions by sources of greenhouse gases that are additional to any that would occur in the absence of the proposed project activity, in accordance with paragraphs 43 to 52 of the CDM modalities and procedures;	
The baseline and monitoring methodologies comply with requirements pertaining to methodologies previously approved by the Executive Board;	
Provisions for monitoring, verification and reporting are in accordance with decision 17/CP.7, the CDM modalities and procedures and relevant decisions of the COP/MOP;	
☐ The project activity conforms to all other requirements for CDM project activities in decision 17/CP.7, the CDM modalities and procedures and relevant decisions by the COP/MOP and the Executive Board.	
The following are requirements derived from paragraph 40 of the CDM modalities and procedures:	
☐ The DOE shall, prior to the submission of the validation report to the Executive Board, have received from the project participants written approval of voluntary participation from the designated national authority of each Party involved, including confirmation by the host Party that the project activity assists it in achieving sustainable development;	
☐ In accordance with provisions on confidentiality contained in paragraph 27 (h) of the CDM modalities and procedures, the DOE shall make publicly available the project design document;	
The DOE shall receive, within 30 days, comments on the validation requirements from Parties, stakeholders and UNFCCC accredited non-governmental organizations and make them publicly available;	
☐ After the deadline for receipt of comments, the DOE shall make a determination as to whether, on the basis of the information provided and taking into account the comments received, the project activity should be validated;	
The DOE shall inform project participants of its determination on the validation of the project activity. Notification to the project participants will include confirmation of validation and the date of submission of the validation report to the Executive Board;	
☐ The DOE shall submit to the Executive Board, if it determines the proposed project activity to be valid, a request for registration in the form of a validation report including the project design document, the written approval of the host Party and an explanation of how it has taken due account of comments received.	
There are only minor issues which should be addressed by the DOE / project participants prior to the registration of the project.	
Section below to be filled in by UNFCCC secretariat	
Date received at UNFCCC secretariat	15/11/2007
Reasons for request:	

- 1. The PDD states that "The Cash flow for FSCECP was presented to the Designated Operational Entity with detailed financial calculations. It resulted in an IRR (36 years) of 11,237%" and "According to the Elejor's investment IRR threshold of 12%, this would not be an acceptable project. Based on this criteria, the project cannot be considered as financially attractive". Further information and substantiation is required regarding the referred threshold and the IRR calculation.
- 2. Further information is required to show how the benchmark and investment analysis have been validated.
- 3. The DOE shall further clarify how they have assessed and validated the sensitivity analysis.

- 4. The common practice analysis should be conducted in accordance with step 4 of the additionality tool by detailing similar projects in the region and explaining the differences between this activity and those similar projects. In this context, further substantiation of the barriers should also be provided.
- 5. The starting date of the project activity should be reported as 'the earliest date at which either the implementation or construction or real action of a project activity begins'. If this date is before validation evidence should be provided to demonstrate prior consideration of the CDM, as required by the guidelines for completing section B5 of the PDD.
- 6. The monitoring plan should clearly outline the monitoring of the net electricity generated by each of the six hydro plants, the number and location of meters, the reservoir area and the electricity losses.